


**COMHAIRLE CATHRACH BHAILE ÁTHA CLIATH**



Miontuairiscí Chruinniú Míósúil a tionóladh ar 7 Samhain 2016 i Seomra na Comhairle, Halla na Cathrach, Cnoc Chorcaí ag 6.15 i.n.  
I Láthair an tArdmheara Brendan Carr sa chathaoir

**Attendance:**

**Comhairleoir:**

Chris Andrews  
Janice Boylan  
Claire Byrne  
Anthony Connaghan  
Ciaran Cuffe  
Daithi Doolan  
Anne Feeney  
Mary Freehill  
Paul Hand  
Vincent Jackson  
Greg Kelly  
John Lyons  
Sean Paul Mahon  
Paddy McCartan  
Ray McHugh  
Rebecca Moynihan  
Criona Ni Dhalaigh  
Claire O'Connor  
Larry O'Toole  
Nial Ring  
Paddy Smyth

**Comhairleoir:**

Kieran Binchy  
Tom Brabazon  
Cathleen Carney Boud  
David Costello  
Hazel De Nortuin  
Pat Dunne  
Declan Flanagan  
Gary Gannon  
Deirdre Heney  
Andrew Keegan  
Frank Kennedy  
Micheal Mac Donncha  
Ray McAdam  
Ruairi McGinley  
Andrew Montague  
Michael Mullooly  
Naoise O'Muirí  
Damian O'Farrell  
Cieran Perry  
Eilis Ryan  
Sonya Stapleton

**Comhairleoir:**

Paddy Bourke  
Christy Burke  
Aine Clancy  
Patrick Costello  
Daithi De Roiste  
Gaye Fagan  
Mannix Flynn  
Alison Gilliland  
Jane Horgan-Jones  
Teresa Keegan  
Dermot Lacey  
Tina McVeigh  
Paul McAuliffe  
Seamas McGrattan  
Edel Moran  
Emma Murphy  
Michael O'Brien  
Ciaran O'Moore  
Noeleen Reilly  
Norma Sammon

**Oifigigh**

Dick Brady  
Anthony Flynn  
Mary Hussey  
Jim Keogan  
Terence O'Keeffe  
Deirdre Ni Raghallaigh

Oonagh Casey  
Mainard Gallagher  
Owen P. Keegan  
Frank Lambe  
Mary Pyne  
Declan Wallace

Bernie Flood  
Ali Grehan  
Brendan Kenny  
Michael Rossiter  
Kathy Quinn  
Brendan O'Brien

1 Lord Mayors Business

The Lord Mayor opened the meeting by expressing condolences on his own behalf and on behalf of the City Council to the family and friends of the late Sarah Cuffe, sister of Councillor Ciarán Cuffe, who died on Tuesday 1st November. All stood for a minute's silence as a mark of respect for all of the deceased.

The Lord Mayor then asked that Members respect each other in the Chamber and to desist from disturbing other Members whilst they were speaking. He asked that any future incidents be brought to his attention immediately as they occur.

The Lord Mayor then referred to a motion submitted by Councillor Mannix Flynn as an emergency motion and said that, while it was not considered to qualify as an Emergency Motion under Standing Orders, he was nevertheless willing to take it as a topic to be discussed under Lord Mayor's Business as he felt the issues raised in the proposed emergency motion should be addressed. He said he would vacate the chair for the duration of the discussion and the Deputy Lord Mayor would take the chair.

The wording of the topic to be discussed was as follows :-

"That this meeting of Dublin City Council calls on the Lord Mayor, Mr Brendan Carr, to withdraw his personalised comments that he made to the media in relation to my motion to disband the Artane Band and publicly apologise. The Lord Mayor is the Chairperson of DCC and first citizen and must be seen to be impartial and independent with regards to Councillor's motions. His comments to the media are wholly undemocratic and not in keeping with his elected position as Lord Mayor"

The Lord Mayor then suggested taking Motion No 24 on the Agenda, also submitted by Councillor Flynn on the subject of the Artane Band, at this time and this proposal was agreed by the Members. However, Councillor Flynn did not agree with the proposal to take Motion No 24. He put forward a suggestion that a meeting be arranged between himself and the Lord Mayor and that this might resolve the issue. The Lord Mayor felt it was important to resolve the issue as soon as possible. Councillor Flynn then left the Chamber.

Due to Councillor Flynn's absence, the Lord Mayor, in agreement with the Members, did not proceed with the topic or Motion No 24 as he deemed it would be unfair to Councillor Flynn and undemocratic. It was proposed by Councillor S McGrattan and seconded by Councillor N O Muiri and agreed by the Council that this issue would be raised under Lord Mayor's Business for the December City Council meeting.

- 2 Ceisteanna fé Bhuan Ordú Úimhir 16  
It was moved by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor R McGinley "That Dublin City Council approves the Dublin Chief Executive answering the questions lodged". The motion having been put and carried, written answers to the 156 questions lodged for the City Council meeting were issued. The Questions and Answers are set out in Appendix A attached.
- 3 Carlow County Council conveying the terms of a resolution adopted by the Members to be circulated to all Local Authorities for support - Irish Water Response to Carlow County Council on water and sewage lines which pass through backyards and gardens.  
It was moved by Councillor R McAdam and seconded by Councillor C Burke "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of this letter". The motion was put and carried.
- 4 Kerry County Council conveying the terms of a resolution adopted by the Members of the Municipal District of Tralee that the Government would fulfil its commitment in the Programme for Government to the post office network by ring-fencing and making available the €75m necessary to sustain the standard of the network going forward and that this €75m would be a feature in the upcoming budget.  
It was moved by Councillor R McAdam and seconded by Councillor C Burke "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of this letter". The motion was put and carried.

- 5 South Dublin County Council conveying the terms of a resolution adopted by the Members to be circulated to all Local Authorities for support -concerned at the need for sustainable funding for local government and services and being dissatisfied with the unfair and unworkable elements of the current Local Property Tax calls on the Minister to amend the legislation.  
It was moved by Councillor R McAdam and seconded by Councillor C Burke "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of this letter". The motion was put and carried.
- 6 To confirm the minutes of the Special Meetings held on the 22nd September, 23rd September and the Monthly Meeting of City Council held on the 3rd October 2016. The minutes of the Meeting of the City Council held on the Special Meetings held on the 22nd September, 23rd September and the Monthly Meeting of City Council held on the 3rd October 2016, having been printed, certified by the Meetings Administrator, circulated to the Members and taken as read, were signed by the Lord Mayor.
- 7 Report No. 312/2016 of the Head of Finance (K. Quinn) - Monthly Local Fund Statement. It was proposed by Councillor N Reilly and seconded by Councillor V Jackson "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 312/2016". The motion was put and carried
- 8 Submitted Report of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 : Report No. 274/2016: Proposal for development works at the public footpath/parking bay on the western side of Shelbourne Road, Dublin 4, adjacent to the pedestrian entrance of 35-39 Shelbourne Road, Dublin 4.  
It was proposed by Councillor R McGinley and seconded by Councillor D Flanagan "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 274/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 9 Submitted Report of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 : Report No 275/2016: Proposal for development works at the public footpath/parking bay on the north east side of Charlemont Street, adjacent to the entrance of 65 & 66 Charlemont Street, Dublin 2.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor R McGinley "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 275/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 10 Submitted Report of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 : Report No 276/2016: Proposal for development works at the public footpath on the south west side of Donnybrook Road at Donnybrook Mall, adjacent to the vehicular entrance to Donnybrook Fire Station, 19-23 Main Street and Eddie Rocket's Diner at the Mall, Donnybrook Road, Dublin 4.  
It was proposed by Councillor N Ring and seconded by Councillor V Jackson "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 276/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 11 Submitted Report of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 :

Report No 277/2016: Proposal for development works on the traffic island located at the junction of Pembroke Road and Herbert Park and bounded by Pembroke Road, Herbert Park and Elgin Road at Ballsbridge, Dublin 4.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P McAuliffe "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 277/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.

- 12 Submitted Report of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 : Report No. 315/2016: Proposal for development works at St. Andrew's Court, Block 1, at the intersection of Fenian Street and Sandwith Street Upper, Dublin 2.  
It was proposed by Councillor D Lacey and seconded by Councillor D Flanagan "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 315/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 13 Submitted Reports of the Chief Executive, in compliance with Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2001 informing the Council of works which are about to commence and in compliance with the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, Part 8 : Report No 306/2016: Proposal for development works at Crane Street, reconstruction of antique sett/cobble carriageway on Sugarhouse Lane, repair of existing antique sett/cobble carriageway in Rainsford Street; and the installation of 5 trees on School Street, Dublin 8.  
It was proposed by Councillor C Ní Dhalaigh and seconded by Councillor R McHugh "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 306/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried
- 14 To fill vacancies on the following committees and outside bodies:
  - (a) Dublin North East Regional Health Forum, following the resignation of Councillor Ray McAdam - 1 vacancy
    - Deferred to December City Council Meeting
  - (b) Dublin North West Area Partnership, following the resignation of Councillor Emma Murphy - 1 vacancy
    - Referred to North West Area Committee for nomination
  - (c) Finglas/Cabra Drug & Alcohol Task Force, following the resignation of Councillor Emma Murphy - 1 vacancy
    - Referred to North West Area Committee for nomination
  - (d) Association of Irish Local Government, following the resignation of Councillor Emma Murphy - 1 vacancy
    - Cllr M MacDonncha was nominated by Councillor S McGrattan and seconded by Councillor J Boylan and approved by the City Council to represent Dublin City Council on the Association of Irish Local Government
  - (e) Finglas Youth Resource Centre, following the resignation of Councillor Emma Murphy - 1 vacancy
    - Referred to North West Area Committee for nomination
- 15 Report No. 317/2016 of the Chief Executive (O. Keegan) - Monthly Management Report.

It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor R McAdam "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 317/2016". The motion was put and carried.

- 16 Report No. 332/2016 of the Assistant Chief Executive (B. Kenny) - Housing Supply Update. It was proposed by Councillor D Flanagan and seconded by Councillor T Brabazon "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 332/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 17 Report No. 307/2016 of the Transportation Strategic Policy Committee - Proposals for College Green including Environmental Impact Assessment Screening report and Appropriate Assessment Screening report update.  
It was proposed by Councillor P Bourke and seconded by Councillor T Keegan "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 307/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 18 Report No. 314/2016 of the Environment Strategic Policy Committee - Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy.  
It was proposed by Councillor R McAdam and seconded by Councillor D Flanagan "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 314/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 19 Report No. 313/2016 of the Dublin City Arts Office - Arts, Education and Learning Policy. It was proposed by Councillor R McGinley and seconded by Councillor P Smyth "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 313/2016 and hereby approves the contents therein". The motion was put and carried.
- 20 Report No. 336/2016 Executive Manager (D. Dinnigan) - Proposal to extinguish Public Right of Way over laneway to the rear of number's 62-72 Faussagh Avenue, Cabra, Dublin.  
It was moved by Councillor S McGrattan and seconded by Councillor J Boylan "That We, the Lord Mayor and Members of Dublin City Council, being the Roads Authority for the City of Dublin and being of the opinion that the Public Right of Way over laneway to the rear of number's 62-72 Faussagh Avenue, Cabra, Dublin, as shown on the attached Drawing No R.M. 23939B, is no longer required for public use, extinguish the public right of way in accordance with Section 73 of the Roads Act 1993" The motion was put and carried.
- 21 Report No. 330/2016 of the Executive Manager (C. Reilly) - With reference to the disposal of the Fee Simple Interest under the Landlord and Tenant (Ground Rents) (No. 2) Act, 1978 in 13 premises.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 330/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 22 Report No. 322/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - with reference to the proposed disposal of the Council's fee simple interest in the property known as the Tayto Factory, Coolock.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 322/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 23 Report No. 323/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - With reference to the proposed disposal of the Council's interest in the premises now known as No. 1A Oriel Street Upper (formerly part of No. 1 Oriel Street Upper), Dublin 1.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan

"That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 323/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.

- 24 Report No. 324/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - With reference to the proposed grant of a further licence of part of 133A Slaney Road, Glasnevin, Dublin 11 to Eco Mattress Recycling Limited.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 324/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 25 Report No. 325/2016 of the Assistant Chief Executive (J. Keogan) - With further reference to the disposal of a site at Ushers Street, Dublin 8 to P. Elliott and Company Limited (Apartment 66).  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 325/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 26 Report No. 326/2016 of the Assistant Chief Executive (J. Keogan) - With further reference to the disposal of a site at Ushers Street, Dublin 8 to P. Elliott and Company Limited (Apartment 68).  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 326/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 27 Report No. 327/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - With reference to the proposed disposal of a plot of land on the Finglas Road, Dublin 11 to the Ardmore Care Home Group Limited.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 327/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 28 Report No. 328/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - With further reference to the proposed grant of a lease, a right of way, wayleave and Occupational Licence at St James Graveyard, Dublin 8.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 328/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 29 Report No. 329/2016 of the Executive Manager (P. Clegg) - With further reference to the grant of a licence to Smithfield Boxing Club for use of Aughrim Sports Hall, Aughrim Street, Dublin 7.  
It was proposed by Councillor P McCartan and seconded by Councillor S McGrattan "That Dublin City Council notes the contents of Report No 329/2016 and assents to the proposal outlined therein" The motion was put and carried.
- 30 Report No. 304/2016 of the Corporate Policy Group - Breviate of the meeting held on 30th September, 2016 - Lord Mayor Brendan Carr, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor R McGinley and seconded by Councillor P Smyth "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 304/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 31 Report No. 301/2016 of the Housing Strategic Policy Committee - Breviate of the meeting held 22nd September, 2016 -Councillor Daithí Doolan, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor D Doolan and seconded by Councillor J Boylan "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 301/2016". The motion was put and carried.

- 32 Report No. 303/2016 of the Transportation Strategic Policy Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 5th October, 2016 - Councillor Ciaran Cuffe, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor P Smyth and seconded by Councillor C O'Moore "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 303/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 33 Report No. 331/2016 of the Planning & Property Development Strategic Policy Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 27th September, 2016 - Councillor Andrew Montague, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor D Lacey and seconded by Councillor A Montague "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 331/2016". The motion was put and carried. The City Council also agreed to write to Minister Simon Coveney, Department of Housing, Planning, Community & Local Government, to reiterate the City Council's opposition to the removal of power from councils in relation to planning applications for over 100 units, as proposed in the Planning and Development (Amendments) Bill 2016.
- 34 Emergency Motion(s) - The City Council suspended Standing Orders to agree the following three emergency motions without debate.
- a) "That this City Council condemns the lack of consultation with local Dublin City Councillors or with local residents regarding the change of use from a Community Centre to a Homeless Facility done through the use of the Section 179 of Planning law to bypass normal consultation in advance of any such works. The building in question is the St Nicholas of Myra, Community Centre, in Carman's Hall, Dublin 8.

That we ask the Chief Executive to clarify to the Council who and when was the decision made to relocate Brú Aimsir in James Street to this unsuitable location and what consideration was given to the effects the change of use would have. Furthermore we call on Dublin City Council and the Dublin Homeless Regional Executive to immediately engage with local elected representatives and residents regarding their plans for the St Nicholas of Myra Community Centre: the exact operating conditions and licence for the proposed Homeless facility; the services and supports that will be provided inside and in the environs of the Hall for users; the need to set up of a liaison structure with reps and local community in the event of it opening; the exact timeframe regarding its use as a Homeless Facility; the production of written guarantees around the necessary funding to reinstate the hall as a Community Centre and the exact timeframe as to when it will revert to full community use".

**This motion was submitted by Councillors C Ní Dhálaigh and R Moynihan.**

- b) "The Council notes that the sale of the Central Bank of Ireland's buildings on Dame Street is to include the sale of the public square bordering Dame Street, also known as the Central Bank Plaza. The Council instructs City Management to approach the relevant State Agencies and Governmental Departments to ensure that the Public Plaza portion of the CBOI buildings portfolio be preserved for public use and if necessary taken in charge by Dublin City Council. Management to report back to Council on the response of the relevant bodies and provide progress reports on this matter listed above" (amended version)

**This motion was submitted by Councillor Andrew Keegan**

- c) "Dublin City Council calls on the Minister for Arts and Heritage to defer the introduction of the Heritage Bill 2016, in so far as it proposes to amend the

Canals Act 1986, which would see the right of Local Councils reduced to have a meaningful input on the operation and Bye laws regarding the Canals to allow dialogue with this Council, the Association of Irish Local Government and the Inland Waterways Association of Ireland in relation to same”.

**This motion was submitted by Councillor Dermot Lacey**

35 It was proposed by Councillor M O'Brien and seconded by Councillor J Lyons:

“That Dublin City Council deploras the fact:

- that the Sunday Independent newspaper came into possession of confidential information pertaining to a homeless housing applicant
- that this information was then used in a distorted fashion by that newspaper to damage that applicant's standing as a campaigner around the issue of the housing and homelessness crisis resulting in great personal distress
- that a number of current and former public representatives and political activists then shared this article on social media in an effort to compound the damage to the housing applicant

Furthermore that Dublin City Council

- Acknowledges that the Housing Assistance Payment scheme carries with it particular disadvantages for housing applicants seeking ultimately to be housed by the council and therefore declining accommodation under the Housing Assistance Payment scheme is neither uncommon nor unreasonable

Dublin City Council therefore calls for

- A statement from the Chief Executive regarding the circumstances of the information leak
  - The amendment of the Housing Assistance Payment scheme so that social housing applicants who take it up are not removed from the allocations list and can progress on that list as normal until they are housed by the council so that they do not face the dilemma that currently exists when encouraged by Dublin City Council Homeless Services to partake in that scheme”.
- The motion was put and carried.

36 The following motion was proposed by Councillor M Freehill:

“That this Council:

- Recognises that the decision to remain or leave the European Union is a matter for UK voters to determine in a referendum on 23 June;
- Asserts that as a fellow Member State with strong ties of people, trade and shared history, Ireland has a strategic interest in the outcome of the referendum;
- Notes the strong links between Dublin and cities around the UK, including Liverpool, Dublin's twin city since 1997;
- Notes that a series of expert reports in Ireland and the UK indicate that Ireland would be disproportionately negatively affected by a UK decision to leave the European Union;
- Acknowledges the role of the European Union in strengthening Ireland's bilateral relationship with the United Kingdom;
- Notes the importance of EU membership in the context of our land border with Northern Ireland and the generous PEACE funding provided by the EU to Northern Ireland over many years;
- Recognises the importance of informed debate and high voter turnout for a positive outcome in the referendum;
- Recognises the role that people in Ireland can play in engaging with friends, family and colleagues in the UK on this key strategic issue for Ireland.



And:

- Encourages British nationals with a right to vote living in Ireland to register to vote before the closing date of 16 May 2016;
- Urges Irish people with friends, family and colleagues living in the UK to engage with them by phone, email and through social media, about the importance of this referendum and Ireland's perspective, the importance of registering to vote and of becoming engaged with the referendum campaign;
- Encourages initiatives such as the European Movement Ireland's #PhoneAFriend campaign;
- Given the twinning relationship between Dublin and Liverpool, will engage with Liverpool City Council following Local Elections in the UK on 5 May"

The wording of this motion was then amended by Councillor Freehill and seconded by Councillor D Lacey as follows :-

"Now that Brexit has happened, that Elected Local Government Members be included in relation to Irish Government's Working Group. It is therefore agreed that DCC writes to the Taoiseach requesting him to co-op two representatives, a member of Irish Delegation of the Committee of the Regions and also a member of the Association of Irish Local Government be included in the Irish Government's Working Group ". The amended motion was put and carried.

- 37 Report No. 308/2016 of the Special Committee Fire/Ambulance Services and Emergency Management - Breviate of the meeting held on 29th September, 2016 - Councillor Allison Gilliland, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 3/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 38 Report No. 305/2016 of the South East Area Committee - Breviate for the month of October 2016 - Councillor Paddy McCartan, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 305/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 39 Report No. 321/2016 of the Central Area Committee - Breviate for the month of October 2016 - Councillor Ciarán Cuffe, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 321/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 40 Report No. 319/2016 of the North Central Area Committee - Breviate for the month of October 2016 - Councillor Ciarán O'Moore, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 319/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 41 Report No. 318/2016 of the North West Area Committee - Breviate for the month of October, 2016 - Councillor Noeleen Reilly, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 318/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 42 Report No. 333/2016 of the South Central Area Committee - Breviate for the month of October 2016 - Councillor Paul Hand, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 333/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 43 Report No. 300/2016 of the North Central Area Committee - Breviate for the Special Meeting - Councillor Ciarán O'Moore, Chairperson.  
It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 300/2016". The motion was put and carried.

- 44 Report No. 298/2016 of the Dublin City Joint Policing Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 12th July, 2016 - Councillor Daithí de Róiste, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 298/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 45 Report No. 299/2016 of the Dublin City Joint Policing Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 13th September, 2016 - Councillor Daithí de Róiste, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 299/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 46 Report No. 320/2016 of the North Central Area Joint Policing Sub-committee - Breviate of the meeting held on the 17th October, 2016 - Councillor Larry O'Toole, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 320/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 47 Report No. 334/2016 of the South Central Area Joint Policing Sub-committee - Breviate of the meeting held on the 5th September, 2016 - Councillor Vincent Jackson, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 334/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 48 Report No. 335/2016 of the South Central Area Joint Policing Sub-committee - Breviate of the meeting held on the 24th October, 2016 - Councillor Vincent Jackson, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 335/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 49 Report No. 302/2016 of the Local Community Development Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 21st July, 2016 - Councillor Daithí Doolan, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council notes Report No 302/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 50 Report No. 316/2016 of the Protocol Committee - Breviate of the meeting held on 29th September, 2016 - Councillor Dermot Lacey, Chairperson. It was proposed by Councillor V Jackson and seconded by Councillor P Hand "That Dublin City Council adopts Report No 316/2016". The motion was put and carried.
- 51 TOPICAL ISSUE - Discussion took place on the following topic "Concern at the homeless figures released last week"

The meeting concluded at 9.30pm in accordance with Standing Orders and all items remaining on the Agenda were deferred to the next meeting of the City Council to be held on the 5th December 2016.

**Correct.**

---

**LORD MAYOR**

---

**MEETINGS ADMINISTRATOR**

**QUESTIONS LODGED PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER NO.16 FOR REPLY AT THE MONTHLY MEETING OF DUBLIN CITY COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON MONDAY, 7<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER 2016**

**Q.1 COUNCILLOR RUAIRI MCGINLEY**

To ask the Chief Executive to check on the operation of building site (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.2 COUNCILLOR REBECCA MOYNIHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive that the City Council begin the process of removing the tree/or significantly scale back at the corner of Dufferin avenue and Wolsey st in Dublin 8. This tree is blocking the light on the house at the corner of Wolsey st and is also causing a health and safety danger to pedestrians as the fruit which drops is causing the pavements to become a slip hazard. Pervious pruning has caused the tree to grow in strength and so if removal is not recommended, DCC should scale the tree to ensure that it doesn't impact on the neighbouring properties.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

This tree will be removed over the next 2 months.

**Q.3 COUNCILLOR DERMOT LACEY**

To ask the Chief Executive how much approximately would be raised by Dublin City Council through a 20 cent State subsidy for every Coca-Cola Zero Dublin Bike scheme journey.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

3,290,881 journeys were undertaken using Coca-Cola Zero dublinbikes between 01/01/2016 and 30/09/2016. These are the latest statistics available for 2016.

If 20 cent accrued for each journey, this would equate to €658,176.

**Q.4 COUNCILLOR RAY MCHUGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to consider the use of (**details supplied**) as a Public Library for the area.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.5 COUNCILLOR RAY MCHUGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to confirm that (**details supplied**) is a DCC property.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.6 COUNCILLOR DERMOT LACEY**

To ask the Chief Executive to detail the penalties that are available to

a) Dublin City Council and

b) The Courts in relation to breaches of planning permission and on which the Planning Enforcement Section has been required to take action.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Dublin City Council has no authority to impose penalties on developers who carry out unauthorised development. Failure to address a breach of the legislation may lead to the service of an enforcement notice and failure to comply with the enforcement notice leads to the institution of legal proceedings.

The maximum penalty that may be imposed by a Court following successful prosecution of a planning enforcement case depends on the case that is brought.

- a. On summary conviction in the District Court: the maximum penalty that may be imposed is €5,000 and/or 6 months imprisonment
- b. On indictment (i.e. trial before a judge and jury) in the High Court or Circuit Court: the maximum penalty that may be imposed is €12,697,380 and/or 2 years imprisonment.

In addition, the Court that hears the case may make an Order directing that the unauthorised development be removed or ceased, as the case may be.

**Q.7 COUNCILLOR DAMIAN O'FARRELL**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.8 COUNCILLOR DAVID COSTELLO**

Bearing in mind the current unacceptable state of dereliction of the Centre in which three hard working businesses are currently striving to provide a service to local people who have no other local shops to go to, could this City Council proactively involve itself in this issue and thus attempt to discharge its duty as a local authority to oversee the betterment of the area.

**Barry shopping centre, Finglas west.**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Our Public Domain Section recently sent a letter to the owners requesting that works be undertaken to prevent the site from becoming derelict and in particular their responsibility to keep the public environs litter and graffiti free.

DCC's Land Registry Section recently checked ownership of the site and confirmed that all the units are owned by one company.

The Finglas Area Office is currently compiling a list of problem houses / sites in the Finglas area, most of which are privately owned. The Barry Shopping Centre will be included on this list. Our report will propose a range of possible actions / options for each property.

Our report will be submitted to the Development Department for consideration by the Active Land Management Section.

**Q.9 COUNCILLOR NOELEEN REILLY**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**Details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.10 COUNCILLOR NOELEEN REILLY**

To ask the Chief Executive (**Details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.11 COUNCILLOR NOELEEN REILLY**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.12 COUNCILLOR NOELEEN REILLY**

To ask the Chief Executive to (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.13 COUNCILLOR JANE HORGAN JONES**

To ask the Chief Executive what action is being taken to deal with ongoing dumping outside Portside Court, West Road, Dublin 3? It is a bag collection area. Greyhound are constantly collecting bags late (and only when complaints are made) and this is attracting dumping on the road.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

West Road, Dublin 3 is an area designated where bags may be collected. However, anyone that has room to store a bin is encouraged to do so as it is less likely to attract pets, vermin and birds. Portside Court is gated and there is nothing to prevent the residents from getting wheelie bins and presenting them for collection outside the gate before 6am on the designated collection day, Thursday. The presentation of bags outside the gate on every Thursday is attracting dumping. Untagged bags dumped at the gates are investigated by Litter Wardens.

**Q.14 COUNCILLOR REBECCA MOYNIHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive for an update on (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.15 COUNCILLOR REBECCA MOYNIHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.16 COUNCILLOR CIARAN CUFFE**

In regard to Housing Policy could the Chief Executive state why court orders in the case of domestic violence will not be considered as grounds to remove someone from a Dublin City Council joint tenancy agreement and does the manager think that changes are needed in this regard to ensure the safety of tenants who are victims of abuse?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Dublin City Council adheres to all court orders that are granted. The enforcement of any such orders are criminal matters and under the jurisdiction of an Garda Síochána.

**Q.17 COUNCILLOR CIARAN CUFFE**

To ask the Chief Executive,

- (1) Is the Public Health Amendment Act 1907 still the statute from which Dublin City Council derives its authority to amend street names, by by-law or otherwise?
- (2) If not, what is the statute now from which Dublin City Council derives its authority to amend a street name?
- (3) If the statute of 1907, which refers to rate-payers, still applies, then is any change of street name effected since the abolition of domestic rates on 1 January 1978 valid and lawful?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

- (1) The relevant Sections in the 1907 Act are repealed.
- (2) The relevant legislation is Section 78 of the Local Government Act 1946 and Local Government (Changing of Place Names) Regulations 1956.
- (3) Local Government Act 1946 also refers to rate payers. However, it is noted that amendments to the 1946 Act changes references to "rate payers" to "qualified electors".

The procedures in relation to name changes are governed by Section 78 of the Local Government Act, 1946 and the Local Government (Changing of Place names) Regulations, 1956 (as amended by Section 67 of the Local Government Act, 1994).

The renaming of a street is a reserved function of the Council and is managed by the Area Offices.

The procedure to rename a street is set out below

The residents requesting the name change must submit an application for the renaming of a street to their local area office, in which they must:

- a) State reason for the change of name.
- b) State the proposed new name.

The Area Office prepares a report for the area committee for consideration and approval to initiate the statutory process required for the change of name.

If approved at Area Committee, a recommendation for the holding of a plebiscite is made to the City Council, who can adopt a resolution that a plebiscite be taken.

A list of qualified electors on the street / road of the proposed name change is prepared. Section 67 of the Local Government Act 1994 provides as follows:

"Qualified electors" means every person who in relation to the urban district, town, townland, non-municipal town, street or locality, as in the case may be-

- a) Is registered as a local government elector in the register of local government electors for the time being in force, or

- b) Not being so registered is the rated occupier of a hereditament other than a hereditament the valuation of which attracts an allowance equal to full abatement of rates pursuant to Section 3 of the Local Government (Financial Provisions) Act, 1978.
- The availability of the list for inspection is advertised in a local newspaper giving three-week notice for written objections to persons included on the list.
- Following the objection period a list of qualified electors is submitted to the City Council for formal adoption.
- Once adopted by the City Council a Manager's Order is made fixing the last day and hour for receiving completed ballot papers.
- Ballot papers are prepared, posted and opened in the presence of the Lord Mayor.
- The City Manager, who is the Returning Officer, declares the result of the ballot. A majority of qualified electors is required for the name to be changed.
- The outcome of the plebiscite is submitted to City Council who note the result and change the name.
- Once adopted by the City Council the residents are informed.
- Timelines are difficult to give, but the standard application usually takes six to eight months.

The exercise of sourcing all street name changes from 1978 would be extremely onerous as all files are paper based not available electronically.

Having searched our records from 2010 to date 2 street name changes were identified and are set out below.

<b>Old Street Name</b>	<b>Revised Street Name</b>
Block B, The Sweepstakes, Dublin 4	2 Ballsbridge Park, Dublin 4
Georges Road, Finglas, Dublin 11	Saint Georges Park, Finglas, Dublin 11

**Q.18 COUNCILLOR CIARAN CUFFE**

To ask the Chief Executive to give details on the terms of reference and the membership of the Traffic Advisory Group.”

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Traffic Advisory Group's purpose is to respond to customers/stakeholders, such as motorists, pedestrians, cyclists, residents, political figures, public transport companies, etc. in managing the various traffic conditions within the City Boundary. The main aims of TAG are to maintain a successful traffic system which encourages a more sustainable form of transport given the limited nature of Dublin's infrastructure. The pedestrian, cyclist and public transport user should be taken precedence over the individual car user in order to make the city a better place to live in, work in or to visit.

When addressing issues or request from our customers/stakeholders there is a wide scope of documentation available to those within the TAG system to utilise. Among these include:

Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets,  
Traffic Management Guidelines,  
The Traffic Signs manuals,  
The National Cycle Manual,  
The Rules of the Road,  
The Road Traffic (Traffic and Parking) Regulations.

An experienced administration team analyse the queries/requests and issue them to the relevant Area Engineers for examination. Based on consultation with each other along with various input from additional departments e.g. Road Maintenance, Parking Enforcement, Street Furniture, Road Marking/Painting, etc., recommendations are formed. These recommendations are then processed at the monthly Tag meetings.

The Traffic Advisory Group comprises the Senior Executive Engineer, Traffic Management and Control, the Administrative Officer, Administration/Traffic Advisory Group, a representative from Dublin Bus who deals with bus related matters only, and a representative from An Garda Síochána, Dublin Metropolitan Region, Dublin Castle. Reports are submitted to the Traffic Advisory Group by the five Area Traffic Engineers. The Senior Executive Engineer and the five Area Traffic Engineers hold a meeting prior to each TAG meeting where items on the upcoming Agenda, as recommended by the Area Traffic Engineers, are discussed.

**Q.19 COUNCILLOR CIARAN CUFFE**

To ask the Chief Executive to comment on suggestions that EU granted funding allocated for the purpose of segregated cycle paths was reallocated towards the Luas Cross City Line, and to make a statement on the matter.”

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE’S REPLY:**

Funding for Luas is a matter for the NTA, however the NTA have stated that they did not receive any EU funding for cycling infrastructure and so no EU funding for cycling infrastructure was reallocated to LUAS LCC.

**Q.20 COUNCILLOR LARRY O’TOOLE**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to this request. **(Details supplied).**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE’S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.21 COUNCILLOR RAY MCHUGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to arrange for a reserved parking bay at **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE’S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.22 COUNCILLOR SEAN PAUL MAHON**

To ask the Chief Executive the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE’S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.



**Q.23 COUNCILLOR RAY MCHUGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to address the problem of litter dumping in the area of **(Details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.24 COUNCILLOR CRIONA NI DHALAIGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to carry out a safety audit with a view to implementing either gates, bollards or any other measures at **(details supplied)**. Residents are sick sore and tired of the cars driving through the complex to avoid traffic in the area. There are fears that a child will be killed or seriously injured.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.25 COUNCILLOR MICHAEL O'BRIEN**

To ask the Chief Executive if **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.26 COUNCILLOR MICHAEL O'BRIEN**

To ask the Chief Executive subsequent to the enactment of the latest Dublin City Development Plan how much land in Dublin City Council area is zoned for residential development and how does it break down between a) DCC owned land 2) other state body owned land including NAMA and 3) Privately owned land and furthermore how many units could conceivably be built on all of this land on the basis of average densities

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

In the Core Strategy of the Development Plan it is estimated that there are circa 210 hectares remaining to be developed in residential zones Z1 and Z2, with the potential to provide for 34,000 residential units (based on the Housing Land Availability Study and a density assumption of 100 units per hectare).

In other zones which can accommodate residential (and other) uses it is estimated that 230 hectares remain to be developed, which will give a yield of circa 18,000 units assuming that 50% of the mixed-use component would be in residential use, (excluding windfall sites and vacant lands of which 61 hectares of the latter have been identified in the inner city).

The City Development Plan includes private and DCC / public owned lands.

The Core Strategy sets out the capacity of sub-areas of the city for residential development as follows:

Table E. Capacity of sub-areas of the city for residential development

		<b>Estimated Capacity – Number of Residential Units.</b>
	Inner City Area (excluding SDR A 7, SDR A 18, and SDRAs 10-16 inclusive)	<b>8,900</b>
<b>SDRA 1</b>	North Fringe (including Clongriffin/Belmayne)	<b>7,100</b>

<b>SDRA 2</b>	Ballymun	<b>3,000</b>
<b>SDRA 3</b>	Ashtown/Pelletstown	<b>1,000</b>
<b>SDRA 4</b>	Park West/ Cherry Orchard	<b>2,000</b>
<b>SDRA 5</b>	Naas Road lands	<b>2,100</b>
<b>SDRA 6</b>	Docklands- Including SDZ area and Poolbeg West	<b>4,600</b>
<b>SDRA 7</b>	Heuston Station & Environs	<b>1,200</b>
<b>SDRA 8</b>	Grangegorman & Environs	<b>800</b>
<b>SDRA 9</b>	St. Michaels Estate	<b>500</b>
<b>SDRA 10</b>	Dominick Street	<b>200</b>
<b>SDRA 11</b>	O'Devaney Gardens	<b>1,000</b>
<b>SDRA 12</b>	St. Teresa's Gardens	<b>800-1,000</b>
<b>SDRA 13</b>	Dolphin House	<b>600</b>
<b>SDRA 14</b>	Croke Villas and Environs	<b>100</b>
<b>SDRA 15</b>	St. James Medical Campus & Environs	<b>500</b>
<b>SDRA 16</b>	Liberties including Newmarket and Digital Hub	<b>2,500</b>
<b>SDRA 17</b>	Oscar Traynor Road	<b>650-700</b>
<b>SDRA 18</b>	National Concert Hall	<b>350-400</b>
	Rest of City	<b>14,400</b>
		<b>Total = 52,300 - 52,600</b>

The DCC owned strategic development areas (ie excluding smaller sites throughout the city) have the capacity to provide 8,200 residential units, subject to local circumstances.

NAMA have responded as follows: "NAMA does not itself own land; instead it holds security over certain land assets. Within the Dublin City Council jurisdiction, land assets currently come to approximately 105 hectares. It is important to emphasise that this figure, covers lands upon which the statutory development plan confers an allowance for residential development. In many of these cases, the zoning may also incorporate a mix of commercial, amenity and community land uses, along with residential use. Factors such as the requirement for areas of public open space, provision of land for school provision, local services, other uses, public utilities and distributor road corridors, as well as site specific limitations on new housing, which are all important planning principles, will lead to a reduction in the actual land area upon which the net residential supply could be delivered in due course."

The Vacant Land Register which will include information on land ownership is currently being prepared and will be published in January 2017

**Q.27 COUNCILLOR MICHAEL O BRIEN**

To ask the Chief Executive where (details supplied)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.28 COUNCILLOR MICHAEL O'BRIEN**

To ask the Chief Executive when the last ten homeless priority applicants seeking 2 bedroom accommodations in area B were housed and how long had each of them has been in emergency accommodation before being housed.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

There have been 11 two bedroom units of accommodation in Housing Area B allocated to Homeless families in 2016 to date. They have been made up of DCC stock, Voluntary Housing and Long Term Leasing units.

<b><i>Length of time on list with priority</i></b>	<b><i>Number of tenancies created</i></b>
<i>12 -13 months</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>14-15 months</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>16-17 months</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>18-19 months</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>20-21 months</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>22-23 months</i>	<i>1</i>

These dates are from time the applicants received Homeless Priority to the date they were housed. The latest family to be Housed had Homeless Priority from February 2015 and was Housed in August 2016. Please note that there was a large increase in families becoming Homeless after this date and therefore the waiting times will increase.

**Q.29 COUNCILLOR CHRIS ANDREWS**

Will the Chief Executive commit to costed plan for a pedestrian walkway to the side of Ringsend Bridge similar to London Bridge as currently with the volume of traffic and narrow footpaths the Bridge is unsafe for pedestrians

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The draft emerging preferred option for the Dodder Greenway proposes cantilevered walkways on either side of Ringsend Bridge. This project has currently been suspended but designs for the walkways will be advanced once the project recommences.

**Q.30 COUNCILLOR CHRIS ANDREWS**

When DCC took charge of the new York Street Apartment's there was a commitment given to residents that the windows would be cleaned twice a year yet this has not happened so can the manager outline why it doesn't happen and put in place a cleaning schedule

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

It is the policy of Dublin City Council Housing Department not to give such commitments to any residents due to the high costs on an annual basis.

**Q.31 COUNCILLOR CHRIS ANDREWS**

How many applicants have been removed from the housing list as a result of being over the income limit in the current housing needs assessment process and how many have been rejected because they were between 50 and 100 Euros over the income limit and how many have been removed from the housing list because they were between 100 and 150 euro over the limit and how many people were removed from the list because they were 150 to 200 Euros over the limit?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

There have been 47 applicants to date that have been removed from the Housing List during the Housing Needs Assessment as a result of being over the income limit. The Housing Needs Assessment is still ongoing and there may be more applicants who no longer qualify for housing due to being over the income limit and therefore they will be cancelled from the Housing list also.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to give a detailed breakdown of the amount each person was over the income limit.

**Q.32 COUNCILLOR SEAN PAUL MAHON**  
To ask the Chief Executive the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.33 COUNCILLOR SEAN PAUL MAHON**  
To ask the Chief Executive the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.34 COUNCILLOR SEAN PAUL MAHON**  
To ask the Chief Executive the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.35 COUNCILLOR EDEL MORAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.36 COUNCILLOR EDEL MORAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.37 COUNCILLOR EDEL MORAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.38 COUNCILLOR EDEL MORAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.39 COUNCILLOR CATHLEEN CARNEY BOUD**  
To ask the Chief Executive to arrange **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**  
A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.40 COUNCILLOR CATHLEEN CARNEY BOUD**  
To ask the Chief Executive to arrange **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.41 COUNCILLOR CATHLEEN CARNEY BOUD**

To ask the Chief Executive to arrange **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.42 COUNCILLOR CATHLEEN CARNEY BOUD**

To ask the Chief Executive to arrange **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.43 COUNCILLOR GAYE FAGAN**

To ask the chief executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.44 COUNCILLOR GAYE FAGAN**

To ask the chief executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.45 COUNCILLOR GAYE FAGAN**

To ask the chief executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.46 COUNCILLOR REBECCA MOYNIHAN**

To ask TAG to install bollards on the footpath at the intersection of Reuben st, Cork st by the Spar. As you can see from the attached photos delivery trucks are parking along the double yellow lines causing a visual obstruction to commuters turning right from Reuben st.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Parking restrictions are already in place at the corner of Reuben Street and Cork Street by regulation. As per the Road Traffic Regulations 36 (2) (c): "A vehicle shall not be parked within 5 metres of a road junction." There is sufficient room in the Bus Lane for Vehicles to load & unload outside the hours of operation (i.e. 1000 – 1200 & 1900 – 0700) without having to encroach on the bend. This is a driver behavioural issue and is a matter for enforcement by An Gardaí or D.S.P.S. at 016022500.

In the photo shown, it should be noted that the driver is also in contravention of another regulation in that they should not be parked on a footway, a grass margin or a median strip. Bollards are not provided on footpaths as a measure to prevent parking as it is illegal to park on a footpath. Therefore bollards are not recommended as this would lead to a proliferation of same and additional expense due to maintenance etc.

**Q.47 COUNCILLOR MANNIX FLYNN**

Can the Chief Executive issue a full report with regards any grants that have been given by DCC to the Georgian Society Dublin. Further, can the manager issue a full report regarding all activities of the old Assembly Building at South William Street which is on long term lease to the Georgian Society? This report to include, what leasing arrangements were made with regards the old Clongowes Boys Club in the basement area at Coppinger Row and the Georgian Society?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Under Indenture of Lease dated 4<sup>th</sup> February 2011 the former City Assembly Building at No. 58 South William Street, Dublin 2 (excluding basement portion) was demised by Dublin City Council to the Irish Georgian Foundation for a period of 30 years from 4<sup>th</sup> February 2011 subject to an initial annual rent of €87,000 per annum but abated to €1,000 per annum so long as the premises is used for cultural and educational use (Council Report No. 314 of 2010 refers).

This disposal included a contribution by Dublin City Council of €250,000 toward refurbishment works in respect of the property. The Irish Georgian Society was also granted a Built Heritage Investment Scheme 2016 grant of €10,000. This grant scheme is administered by Dublin City Council with funds recouped from the Department of Arts Heritage Regional Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs. The City Assembly House requires ongoing restoration works to realise the potential of this historic building.

The premises are currently being used as the headquarters of the Irish Georgian Society, the offices of which are located on the second floor. The Assembly Room is used for temporary exhibitions and events. The O'Connell Room (former City Archives Reading Room) is currently used as a boardroom. A bookshop and another exhibition room are located on the ground floor.

Any interest that the Trustees of Clongowes Union may have had in the premises, were surrendered to Dublin City Council in August 2012. Subsequent to this and in order to facilitate further development of the premises (including universal access and the provision of a fire escape) report No 293/2015 (which was assented to at the October 2015 City Council meeting) proposed, subject to terms and conditions, to vary the existing lease to include the existing basement area not already conveyed to the Irish Georgian Foundation.

**Q.48 COUNCILLOR MANNIX FLYNN**

Can the Chief Executive issue a full clear and comprehensive report with regards the protocols and guidelines that are in place in relation to the Lord Mayor's office and the office of the Lord Mayor. Recent press statements and comments from the Lord Mayor's office and the Lord Mayor, have given rise to public controversy which would indicate that there are conflicts of interest which undermine the confidence of the impartiality and independence of the office of Lord Mayor.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The role of Lord Mayor is used interchangeably with Cathaoirleach in the Local Government Acts. Other than these statutory functions many of the Lord Mayor duties are ceremonial in nature. Some of these roles would have developed over the centuries and are carried out by custom and practice.

There are no formal City Council protocols related to the role of the Lord Mayor other than the meeting management functions outlined in Standing Orders. Neither are there any formal restrictions on a Lord Mayor expressing opinions in a public forum or

in the media. A recent motion to introduce such a protocol around the Office of the Lord Mayor was defeated at the Protocol Committee

There is an Information Pack drawn up by staff of the Chief Executive's Department. The pack outlines: the history and operation of the Mansion House; roles of assigned staff; Council related roles of Lord Mayor (Chair of City Council, CPG, Joint Policing Forum etc); ex-officio roles as a board member/ patron to a range of external organisations; receiving and making courtesy calls; use of the Lord Mayor's chains and other City Insignia; Freedom of the City & other Civic Receptions and information regarding allowances and budget. The information pack also includes media resources available to the Lord Mayor. Staff of the Chief Executive's Department brief a new Lord Mayors shortly after his/her election on the issues above and advise him/her during the term of office.

The Chief Executive's Department does not comment on the expressed opinions of the Lord Mayor or other members of the City Council.

**Q.49 COUNCILLOR MANNIX FLYNN**

Can the Chief Executive issue a full report regarding the all night cafe at Merchants Quay Project. There is great concern locally that this all night cafe is being used as emergency accommodation and there are further concerns from certain staff in the Merchants Quay Project that this is resulting in a greater risk to person's health and safety. Also, that this report carries out a full assessment of any breaches of the planning laws with regards the operational licence of Merchants Quay Project Ireland.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Planning permission for a change of use to a day-care centre for social services, to include a dining area, dental, medical GP services and ancillary support was granted on 23 October 2008 (Planning Register reference 5850/07 refers).

The permission did not include a condition restricting the hours of operation of the service.

Following the convening of a 'Homeless Summit' by Ministers Alan Kelly TD and Paudie Coffey TD, held on December 4th 2014, Government endorsed a 20 point action plan to respond to the key concerns of homelessness, particularly in the Dublin region. One of the core actions outlined in the action plan was the set-up of new Night Café to support persons who are rough sleeping.

The MQI Night Café has been operational since 21st January 2015. This is a service which targets persons who are rough sleeping and cannot or will not access accommodation. Tea, coffee, a light meal and showers are provided on site.

The service conducts needs assessments and interventions with service users to identify and address issues which are preventing them from accessing emergency accommodation and long term housing and assists them to source accommodation.

The Café provides the following interventions: inter- agency referral and supports, links to emergency accommodation, referral to medical services, advice and information on social welfare/benefits, advice re education/employment options and physical and mental health interventions with a view to preventing and addressing entrenched rough sleeping and the risks to health and wellbeing that this poses.

**Q.50 COUNCILLOR MANNIX FLYNN**

Can the Chief Executive furnish a full comprehensive report giving all the details with regards the forthcoming plebiscite and election process that is due with regards the BIDS district trading as DublinTown? This report to include all those who are eligible to vote. The exact location of the businesses that is eligible to vote. The exact criteria of eligibility to vote. A full list of all those who are ineligible to vote, such as those in default of payments. Who is charged with carrying out the plebiscite? Who is in charge of counting and administering the vote? Who is in charge of ensuring the voting process is transparent and open? Is there any provision within the plebiscite legislation and the administration of such that facilitates a plebiscite for those who wish to exit the BIDS and discontinue with membership of Dublin Town Bids? This report also to include, a full and transparent disclosure of all information including costs of the last plebiscites concerning the BIDS district Dublin Town.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The process of renewing the Dublin City Centre Bid trading as Dublin Town which is in force until the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2018 will only commence when that company submits a renewal proposal to the City Council under section 129B(1) of the Local Government (Business Improvement Act) 2006. The Chief Executive will then appoint a Returning Officer for the purposes of the ratepayer plebiscite. The renewal proposal is put on public display and the Returning Officer considers the submissions and whether the BID renewal proposal is consistent with the interests of the local community. At that stage, the BID Company may request that the Rating Authority proceed to arrange a plebiscite of rateable properties as outlined in the Act.

A list of those entitled to vote in the 'BID/Dublin Town' plebiscite will be compiled prior to the issuing of ballot papers in 2017. This list will be finalised by reference to the most up to date information on rateable occupiers in the BID area at the time of the plebiscite.

All those involved with the oversight of the plebiscite will be local government officials. Those businesses wishing to exit the Dublin City BID will have the opportunity to vote against the renewal of the BID as set out in the Act. Businesses in arrears are entitled to vote in the plebiscite.

Under the Act the local authority may not change the area of the proposed BID.

Plebiscite costs were as follows:

2007 - €16,961.90

2012 - €10,870.69

All costs were recouped from Dublin Town.

**Q.51 COUNCILLOR ANDREW KEEGAN**

Has the Chief Executive put in a request to the Dept. Environment for funds to purchase the Dame Street Buildings off the CBOI for the purpose of reimagining the building as a reciptory building and restoration centre for our National Library of Ireland?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Chief Executive has not made any funding request in order to be able to purchase the former premises of the Central Bank of Ireland on Dame Street for the purpose set out in the question or for any other purpose.

Dublin City Council, as a local authority, is responsible for the provision of a public library service within its geographical area. At present Dublin City Council has a



network of 21 branch libraries. The new City Library at Parnell Square will serve as the centre point of this public library network in the city. It will be an exciting new destination for learning, literature, information and Culture in Dublin.

The National Library of Ireland is the national reference library with responsibility for collecting and making accessible the documentary and intellectual record of the life of Ireland. The National Library falls under the remit of the [Minister for Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht](#) Affairs and is not within the remit of the city council.

As part of the process of identifying a suitable location for the City Library a site selection process was completed. Several sites were examined including the Offices of the Central Bank of Ireland.

Following selection of the site of the former Colaiste Mhuire at Parnell Square, a design team has been selected, draft designs have been prepared and the project is advancing.

**Q.52 COUNCILLOR ANDREW KEEGAN**

Has the Chief Executive been fully briefed by any Government's Dept or agencies on the future Impact to the public domain on the aftermath of the sale of the CBOI buildings on Dame Street.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Chief Executive has not been briefed by any Government Department or agency on this matter.

**Q.53 COUNCILLOR ANDREW KEEGAN**

Have DCC management realised any future plan to relocate our national literature archive to a secure location to safeguard and stop the demise of our archive of the nations written word under our protection.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The new City Library at Parnell Square will serve as the centre point of the public library network in the city. It will be an exciting new destination for learning, literature, information and Culture in Dublin. Recognising and affirming Dublin's designation as the 4<sup>th</sup> UNESCO City of literature, will form part of the cultural offer in terms of space for collections, reading and programmes of public engagement. At the heart of the new library will be a literature centre, a StoryHouse, displaying and celebrating the city's contemporary and historic literary collections including first editions, theatre history and research materials.

A particular focus will be on the literary works of Dublin and its writers including residencies for contemporary writers. The collections, the archives and artefacts will be stored in the library in appropriate environments, including controlled archive storage where required.

The City Council does not have a national remit in relation to the preservation of literature or archives, responsibility for which rests with the National Library of Ireland.

**Q.54 COUNCILLOR GAYE FAGAN**

To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.55 COUNCILLOR DAVID COSTELLO**

Can the Chief Executive provide a breakdown of how much money was spent on the various types of emergency accommodation (hotel, hostel, family facilities ect) over the last 12months and in doing so outline the selection and procurement process used to acquire hotel and other accommodation. To allow for clear oversight by this council please also detail the individual accommodation providers, the number of rooms and nights paid for by DHRE/DCC in each and the average nightly rate paid by DHRE over the last 12 months.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The breakdown for the cost of emergency accommodation is as follows:

	2015	2016 (Q1 + Q2)
PEA's	€8,787,318	€5,661,973
Hotels	€16,563,529	€16,339,865

The Local Authority does not provide detailed information regarding the provision of Private Emergency accommodation and Hotels/B&B's, on the basis that we wish to protect the privacy of residents in the first instance and that these details are commercially sensitive and could damage the negotiating position of Dublin City Council with private landlords /Hotels and B&B operators, regarding costs.

The disclosure into the public domain could also lead to the loss of accommodation for those experiencing homelessness.

**Q.56 COUNCILLOR DAVID COSTELLO**

Can the Chief Executive provide detailed percentages of where Homeless Families that moved from emergency accommodation moved to (dcc tenancy, voluntary housing hap ect.) over the last 12 months?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**


Response:

As indicated in the graph below, a total of 1556 individual adults moved to tenancies in the twelve months between October 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 and September 30<sup>th</sup> 2016.

Of these,

- 44% moved to HAP (n=690)
- 49% to Local Authority or Approved Housing Body (n=769) [31% Local authority (n=487); 7% Long-term Supported Accommodation (n=111); 11% Approved Housing Body (n=171)]
- 6% to Private Rented Accommodation (n=97)

**Figure 1: Moves to Tenancies Q1 2013 – Q3 2016**



Children moving to tenancy with adults:

Quarter 3 2016 is the first quarter where the number of children accompanying adults has been reported. In addition to the 411 adults who moved to tenancies, there were 376 children accompanying these adults.

**Breakdown of tenancy type for families moving from hotels:**

The reporting function to capture this information is currently being developed. We hope that this will be in place for the Q4 2016 (year-end) report and we will be able to retrospectively report on where families move to.

**Q.57 COUNCILLOR HAZEL DE NORTUIN**

To ask the Chief Executive could I have an update on any plans for the attached highlighted piece of land adjoining Labre Park? It runs along Labre Park and the canal. Who owns this land? Is there any plans for this land and if so what is the time frame and who are the parties involved?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The land referred to is in the ownership of the City Council and previous plans to dispose of these lands were unsuccessful. Considerations for its potential future use consistent with the City Development Plan are currently being investigated while taking into account the site's limited development potential due to a number of factors including the presence of a number of large ESB pylons.

**Q.58 COUNCILLOR HAZEL DE NORTUIN**

To ask the Chief Executive can the cycle lane in Ballyfermot beginning at the bus stop on the main road at the shops running to the Assumption Church be inspected? Can all pot holes and road bumps be fixed to ensure the safety and comfort of cyclists using the cycle lanes?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Road Maintenance Services carried out an inspection of the carriageway from the shopping area to the Church of the Assumption on Ballyfermot Road. There is a bus lane located along this section of Ballyfermot Road. There are several potholes located within the bus lane. Arrangements have been made to fill the potholes within the next 2 days.

**Q.59 COUNCILLOR HAZEL DE NORTUIN**

To ask the Chief Executive can the section of the cycle lane on Sarsfield Road where the lane leaves the road and goes onto the path be clearly marked as a cycle lane. Since there is no marking on the path currently.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The renewing of cycle lane markings on Sarsfield Road has been added to our works Programme and will be attended to when current work schedule permits.

**Q.60 COUNCILLOR HAZEL DE NORTUIN**

To ask the Chief Executive are there any plans to improve the flow of traffic around the roundabout at the Assumptions Church? Currently for cyclist using the round about there is no markings and is very hazardous particularly with the increase in traffic.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Regional roads R833 (Ballyfermot Road) and R112 (Kylemore Road) intersect at the main roundabout in Ballyfermot at the Church of Our Lady of Assumption.

Ballyfermot Road, west of the roundabout, and Kylemore Road are designated as primary and secondary cycle routes (respectively) in the Dublin West Sector of the NTA Greater Cycle Route Network Plan. The plan proposes links from Kylemore Road to the Grand Canal Greenway to the south and to a primary radial route from Parkgate Street to Leixlip via Chapelizod, Palmerstown and Lucan to the north. There is also a link to the future Liffey Greenway. Ballyfermot Road, west of the roundabout links with the Liffey Valley Shopping Centre.

While the cycle network plan sets out proposals in respect of individual links between primary and secondary cycle routes, these proposals have only been developed at a strategic level. Accordingly, individual link proposals are subject to possible refinement and amendment as part of the planning and development process.

In relation to provision of cycling facilities at Ballyfermot Roundabout, an integrated cycle lane is not recommended due to high traffic volume and left-turning movement of traffic. A segregated cycle lane with toucan crossings, separating cyclists from vehicle traffic would be more suitable.

At present, there are no plans to construct cycle lanes along this route.

**Q.61 COUNCILLOR DERMOT LACEY**

To ask the Chief Executive if he will initiate discussions with Irish Water, the EPA and any other relevant bodies to see what measures could be taken to control/limit/penalise the amount of disposable nappies and similar wipes that are increasingly clogging up the system

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Nappies, sanitary towels, wipes and other non degradable materials pose difficulties for the drainage system. They are particularly an issue for private drains where there are a number of properties on the same line.

However, the ability of regulatory bodies, to proscribe what individuals flush into the drainage network, is very limited. It is generally common knowledge that disposing of these items via the drainage network can lead to problems, but the problem still exists. This is an issue for the householder.

**Q.62 COUNCILLOR CHRIS ANDREWS**

Will the Chief Executive state when the vast majority of trees on the following streets on Lombard Street West - Emorville Ave - Carlisle Street - Ovoca Road -Arnott Street -Curzon - Desmond Street - McMahon Street - are going to have the tarmac that was placed at the base of a significant number of trees replaced with the concrete finish in keeping with the existing pavements as tar mac is not in keeping with the architecture of these streets and furthermore when will the roots of the trees lifting and cracking the pavements be cut back and when will the trees that have been removed be replaced?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Bituminous material is used to surround tree bases as it is more flexible than concrete and therefore more resistant to cracking caused by tree roots. Road Maintenance Services have no plans to change the material at these locations.

It is preferable to use flexible material such as asphalt or resin bound gravel in the reinstatement of pavements close to trees, as this allows for good air flow and water percolation to the roots. This treatment will also allow the roots to expand without lifting the pavement which is a problem when concrete is used.

It is not recommended that tree roots are pruned, as they are responsible for anchoring and providing nutrients for the tree and therefore pruning is kept to a minimum.

Due to the large number of services in this area, it proposed to utilise the same tree pits for replacement trees. Therefore, planting will be undertaken on a phased basis as the tree roots 'breakdown and rot', allowing for new trees, appropriate to the area, to be planted in the tree pit.

**Q.63 COUNCILLOR DERMOT LACEY**

To ask the Chief Executive to report on why it has taken so long for the electrical works at **(details supplied)** and if he will immediately arrange for them to be completed.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.64 COUNCILLOR NIAL RING**

To ask the Chief Executive to outline the reasons, legal and otherwise, why tenants of flats within DCC owned flat complexes are, as of now, unable to apply to purchase their homes. Also, to ask the Chief Executive if he would consider setting up a sub-committee of the Housing SPC to examine how such transfer of ownership could be accommodated.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Incremental Tenant Purchase Scheme for the tenant purchase of local authority apartments/flats is set out in Part 4 of the Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 2009.

Dublin City Council was selected to operate a pilot scheme and identified two apartment complexes, Sheridan Place, Dorset Street, Dublin 1 and the Pumphouse, Londonbridge Road, Dublin 4 that satisfied the eligibility criteria set out in the legislation. Matters were progressed to a certain level in relation to the Pilot Scheme. The regulations in relation to the Transfer/Charging Orders now need to be finalised by the Department of Environment, Community and Local Government which are necessary to complete the sale process.

**Q.65 COUNCILLOR NIAL RING**

To ask the Chief Executive to clarify the background to the disposal brought to the City Council under report No 281/2016 - "With reference to the disposal of the common areas in a multi-use development at New priory (formerly Priory Hall), Hole in the Wall Road, Donaghmede, Dublin 14). In particular, as asked at the meeting, could the Chief Executive:

a. Confirm the background to the acquisition of the site from the joint liquidators of Laurence O'Mahony and Thomas McFeeley.

b. Confirm the amount paid for the site (acquisition cost) given that the report states that "DCC acquired this site".

c. Confirm the amount received in relation to the disposal, given that the report relates to "the disposal of the common areas....".

d. Detail the covenants and conditions (referred to in the report) "as the Law Agent in his discretion may stipulate".

e. Explain the background and reason behind the somewhat unusual statement that the "dates for the performance of any of the requirements of the proposed agreement may be amended at the absolute discretion of the Executive Manager". What is the "agreement" and how is it different to a contract?

f. Confirm whether, or not, the report was approved at area committee level and if not, why not?

g. Detail the total cost to DCC of the Priory Hall debacle.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A & B) In October 2011, by Order of the High Court, residents of Priory Hall apartment complex in Donaghmede, Dublin 13 were evacuated from their homes due to fire safety concerns. Following a resolution process set up by the Government, a framework approach was agreed to secure the successful resolution of the Priory Hall issue. The framework provided for the Council to oversee the refurbishment of the Priory Hall Complex. The entire complex was transferred to Dublin City Council in order to enable us to carry out the agreed remediation of the development. As part of this process DCC purchased from IBRC at a cost of €1,035,000 65 apartments and 4 retail units previously owned by Laurence O'Mahony and Thomas McFeeley; this sum

was fully recouped from the Department of Housing Planning Community & Local Government.

G) All costs being incurred on this redevelopment are fully recoupable to DCC from the Department of Housing Planning Community & Local Government.

To date a total of €22.2m has been expended on the project

By way of background Report No 281/2016 provided for the disposal of the Common Areas at New Priory Hall in order to comply with the Multi-Unit Developments Act 2011 Section 5(1):

*5.— (1) Where, before the coming into operation of [section 4](#), a multi-unit development has been substantially completed by or on behalf of the developer, and the ownership of the relevant parts of the common areas or the reversion in the units concerned has not been transferred to the owners' management company concerned, the developer shall within 6 months of such coming into operation arrange for the transfer of such ownership to the owners' management company concerned of the lands referred to in [section 3](#) (1)(b), without the reservation of any beneficial interest.*

*(2) For the purposes of this section, a multi-unit development shall be regarded as being substantially completed if the sales of not less than 80 per cent of the residential units in the development have been closed*

(c) The disposal of the Common areas is for nil consideration to the Management Company..

(d) This relates to any additional conditions that the Law agent may be required to include in the Contract for Sale in order to protect the interests of the City Council.

(e) This condition is usually included in disposals where there are dates for performance specified in the S.183 by which either party to the disposal must comply with. The 'agreement' referred to relates to the terms & conditions that are agreed between the Chief Valuer acting on behalf of the City Council and the entity to whom the property is being disposed. The contract is the legal document between both parties and is prepared based on the terms & conditions agreed in the S183.

(f) Report No 281/2016 was not referred to the Area Committee for consideration as it related only to the disposal of the Common Areas to the Management Company in order to comply with the Multi-Use Development legislation

**Q.66 COUNCILLOR NIAL RING**

To ask the Chief Executive to detail the cost of the Millward Browne research document commissioned to find out "what people think of the proposed plans in the Dublin city centre Transport study". In addition to ask the Chief Executive to comment on the inclusion (at a cost no doubt) of research (by sample) of current modes of travel to the City Centre when the DCC/NTA complete survey (annual monitoring) providing fully detailed transport mode shares was readily available within the Transport Study (Executive Summary - June 2015) which pre dated the Millward Browne survey. Finally, to ask the Chief Executive if there were any discussions between DCC/NTA, Millward Browne and DKM/EY (Assessment of impact on retail market) in order to ensure that information at each other's disposal could be shared in order to keep the costs of completing these reports down. Not only did Millward Browne not use DCC/NTA available data but the DKM/EY report included RedC data on the same "mode of transport" question which, bizarrely gave yet another figure for various transport modes. Finally, to ask the Chief executive if, in his view, such inconsistencies and unexplained variances fatally undermine the whole City Centre Transport Study given that different figures/answers to the same question must render the interpretation and use of those figures useless if not misleading.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Milward Browne research was commissioned by the National Transport Authority and so costs of the study are not available to DCC.

The DCC/NTA canal cordon report which the question refers to, records the numbers of people and their mode of travel that crosses over the canal cordon during the hours of 07:00 – 10:00. It does not record any journeys that are made within the canal cordon nor does it record any movements or modes outside these hours and also it does not record if the journey passed through the City Centre or terminates there. It does not record any activity at the weekend where a large proportion of shopping trips will be made. Most importantly it does not record the reason for the trip across the canal that is being counted and whether it is for Work, Study, shopping or social activity.

The canal cordon report also clearly does not cover the hours from 10:00 onwards when indeed the majority of shopping trips will be made. Therefore if the report had been solely based on the DCC/NTA canal cordon survey, it would not have captured the majority of shopping trips within the city centre and also it would have been impossible to draw any information from the report regarding shopping trips, as they are not differentiated in the canal cordon report.

For the reasons stated above the Canal Cordon report covering only the hours of 07:00- 10:00 and which does not record reason for making a journey, cannot be used for assessment of the impact on retail market. The Dublin Town footfall data shows that 67% of the footfall in the city centre is recorded between 09:00- 17:00 ( pg 12 of EY report ) therefore it was clearly essential to undertake survey work to determine how people had arrived in the city centre during the day especially during peak retail periods.

There are two Millward Brown research reports used in the EY report, Shopping Survey 2014 commissioned by the NTA and which was made available to EY and research on consumer's reaction to the proposals contained in the Centre Study commissioned in 2016 by the NTA. Participants were asked for their normal modes of transport to the city centre both main and secondary mode i.e. if they sometimes drove or other times used a bus and what percentage this was. The survey was undertaken using two groups, one on street in Dublin City and the other was an in home survey throughout the Dublin Region. They also asked for the participant's last journey to the city centre what was the purpose of their trip and what mode of transport did they use.

The red C study was commissioned by Dublin Town and was made available and used by EY in the preparation of the Economic Impact report. This survey asked participants what modes of transport they had ever used to get to South City and North City destinations and also asked them the most used mode to get to each area.

Both the Millward Brown 2014 survey and the Red C survey showed that consistently public transport is the most popular mode used to access the city centre and each survey shows this clearly even though the questions are phrased slightly differently in each survey.

The EY report used the surveys made available to them such as the Millward Brown 2014 and 2016 research as well as the Dublin Town Red C survey which Dublin Town kindly made available to EY. Face to face Interviews with major retailers and data from Dublin Town footfall data was also used in the economic analysis. The canal cordon report was also referenced on pg 25 correctly as providing AM Peak hour traffic.



The above shows that the EY report did make use of the various studies, that data was shared between the NTA, Dublin Town and EY and that the survey data used is consistent. Also as explained in detail above the DCC NTA canal cordon count and breakdown of modes cannot be used for a retail impact report.

**Q.67 COUNCILLOR NIAL RING**

To ask the Chief Executive if, in order to satisfy the income criteria for the Tenant (Incremental) Purchase Scheme, can the son/daughter of an elderly tenant applying to purchase their home under the scheme legally commit to supplementing the social welfare payment of an elderly tenant to bring their gross income above the €15,000 limit, i.e. legally commit to providing income to their parent. I ask this because I have a case where the children of an elderly tenant have funds in place to give to their mother to allow her buy her DCC home. However, the tenant only has a state pension and notwithstanding the fact that the tenant can and wants to purchase the property, she is confined by the €15k income rule.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Ministerial Directions issued under Sections 24(3) and (4) of the Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 2014, provides the basis for the determination of the reckonable income of an applicant under the Tenant (Incremental) Purchase Scheme 2016. The Directions provide that housing authorities can include income from a number of different sources and classes, such as from employment, private pensions, maintenance payments and certain social welfare payments from the Dept. of Social Protection, including pensions, where the social welfare payment is secondary to employment income.

In the case outlined above, the only source of income would appear to be social welfare payments, with no employment income, and so, in line with the Ministerial Reckonable Income Directions, the applicants would not satisfy the requirement to have a minimum annual income of €15,000, as determined by the housing authority (Regulation 6 of the Housing (Sale of Local Authority Houses) Regulations 2015 refers). Unfortunately it is not permissible to consider the income of the son/daughter

The scheme is the very early stages on implementation, and the Department is monitoring the operation of the scheme, and issues regarding certain aspects of the scheme, including the determination of reckonable income have been raised. In line with the commitment in the new Programme for a Partnership Government the Minister intends to undertake a review of the 2016 scheme following the first 12 months of operation. The Minister will bring forward any changes to the terms and conditions of the scheme which are considered necessary based on the evidence gathered at that stage.

**Q.68 COUNCILLOR CRIONA NI DHALAIGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to begin a consultation process with the local sports clubs in the South West Inner city area on how best to use the Old Boys Brigade pitches as a shared sporting facility now that it has been rezoned Z9.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022 took effect from Friday 21st October 2016. The implications of the various approved motions are under consideration. It is likely that the Strategic Development Regeneration Area of St. Teresa's Gardens and environs, in which the former Old Boys Brigade pitch is situated, will require a new master plan, which involves a consultation process.

**Q.69 COUNCILLOR CRIONA NI DHALAIGH**

To ask the Chief Executive to investigate traffic calming measures at the following location. **(Details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.70 COUNCILLOR LARRY O'TOOLE**

To ask the Chief Executive to have repairs to the pathway at **(details supplied)** carried out.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.71 COUNCILLOR LARRY O'TOOLE**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to this query **(Details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.72 COUNCILLOR CIARAN O'MOORE**

Can the chief executive provide a breakdown of cost in relation to the provision of?

- a) Emergency accommodation i.e. hotels, B and Bs, merchant key night café ect.
- b) Cost associated with the free phone number?
- c) Costs associated with providing sleeping bags?
- c) Any other cost associated with the provision of homeless services?

A total figure and breakdown for 2015.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

- a) The breakdown for the cost of emergency accommodation is as follows for 2015

	2015
PEA's	€8,787,318
Hotels	€16,563,529
MQI	€1,096,332

- b) The total cost in 2015 for staffing and telephone costs for the homeless helpline was:

Freephone Expenditure	2015
Payroll	€333,779
Telephone	€668,800
Gas/Electricity/Stationery etc	€8,822
<b>Total:</b>	<b>€1,011,401</b>

- c) DCC spent €158,163 on sleeping bags/ruck sacks in 2015

- d) Please see <http://www.housing.gov.ie/housing/homelessness> for further details

**Q.73 COUNCILLOR CIARAN O'MOORE**

Can the Chief Executive please consider what support/ compensation can be offered to the following business in Clontarf; (Fishbone, Bodyfirst, Craddocks Estate Agents, Mark Stevens Barbers, Solaya Massage and Clontarf Cleaners , These are small local community business that are suffering greatly as a direct result of the road works in Clontarf. In consultation with the small business, the following feedback had been given:

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Dublin City Council carries out carriageway works outside businesses and residences around Dublin on an ongoing basis, and strives to complete these works while minimizing any negative impacts on local businesses and residents. However, Dublin City Council is not in a position to offer compensation to businesses that feel that their business has suffered while roadworks are being carried out.

The contractor will be carrying out carriageway resurfacing works in front of residences and businesses along Clontarf Road over the next few weeks and will liaise with the business owners and make every effort to maintain access to the businesses at all times. The contractor is also planning to work extended hours during the next few weeks in order to complete the work as quickly as possible. However this also depends on weather conditions during the works.

Once these carriageway resurfacing works are completed the Clontarf Road will be reopened to traffic, and it is anticipated that the remaining works to be carried out as part of the S2S works can be done with little or no impact on traffic along Clontarf Road and James Larkin Road.

It should be noted that the current S2S scheme effectively incorporates three separate projects into one, namely:

- Construction of approximately 2km of cycle track and footway, signal controlled crossings, new public lighting, and major carriageway repairs and resurfacing;
- Flood defence works and seawall repairs to provide new flood defence measures between Bull Road and Causeway Road;
- Construction of approximately 2km of the North City Arterial Watermain between the Bull Road and Causeway Road.

The purpose of doing this was to avoid future major disruptions to businesses and residents in the area that would have been necessary if the flood defence works and the new watermain works had been done as separate projects at later dates.

The Council's position is that when completed the amenities for the local residents and businesses will have been considerably enhanced as a consequence of the works.

*For over 12 months now, the businesses along this stretch have been affected in some way or another, whether it is the access of our customers to our collective services , delivery of goods in to these businesses, and in the case of Kanoodle, a delivery business, the drivers, attempting to provide the prompt Asian Food Delivery Experience their customer base had become accustomed to. The net result has been a considerable reduction in all of our revenue*

*and some are now facing catastrophe. Staff hours are now being drastically reduced as we make every effort to stay afloat.*

*While we all acknowledge that this new cycle path will provide a great amenity and safe passage to all cyclists choosing to cycle out along the prom, I don't think it requires a great deal of thought to realise that a cycle path is just that, a path, where cyclists can cycle past our businesses with greater safety and views that are about to be the envy of local drivers. We do not accept that this will benefit our trade in anyway.*

*The next phase of this work will be catastrophic for all of us, perhaps fatal in one instance. This phase will result in the complete road closure along our stretch here at The Wooden Bridge. Access will be so limited that some doubt whether their business will make it to Christmas.*

*We are all experiencing reductions in revenue. Anything from 20% to 80% in the case of one service.*

**Q.74 COUNCILLOR LARRY O'TOOLE**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to this request (**Details supplied**).

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.75 COUNCILLOR FRANK KENNEDY**

At present when cyclists travel towards O'Connell Bridge from Westmoreland St in order to get from one side of the cycle lane to the other (which is a continuation of the lane) the cyclist must cross the Luas tracks at a 90 degree angle. This is extremely dangerous because at this angle the bicycle wheels get caught in the Luas tracks. To ask the Chief Executive to remedy this by providing a continuation of the cycle lane which does not expose cyclists to such acute risk?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The location where the cycle lane intersects with the Luas tracks on Westmoreland Street will be inspected by the Area Traffic Engineer. A report will be prepared for the councillor within a two month timeframe.

**Q.76 COUNCILLOR FRANK KENNEDY**

To ask the Chief Executive:

(a) to revisit the decision to abolish cash payments in the motor tax office for motor tax, which has caused tremendous inconvenience for many people; and

(b) to attempt to reach an agreement with An Post for an alternative mechanism of payment whereby post offices (which are struggling) could take over receipt of payments.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

a) The Department of Housing Planning Community and Local Government has issued a reply to Dublin City Council's Motor Tax Efficiency Recommendations report submitted to them earlier this year. The Department state that the recommendations of the Dublin City Council report would be considered in conjunction with review of Motor Tax being undertaken by the Office of the Comptroller & Auditor General.

The reply also noted that some of the suggested recommendations would require amendments to primary legislation and would therefore be subject to the approval of Government and the Oireachtas.

The recommendation of the Finance Strategic Policy Committee not to implement the recommendation relating to cash payments has already been noted.

b) Arrangements for the administration of the Motor Tax service is a matter for the Department of Housing, Planning Community & Local Government.

**Q.77 COUNCILLOR FRANK KENNEDY**

In circumstances where the problem of rats at Sandymount Strand is now completely out of control (to the extent that rats are now often spotted there with grey hair, which means that they have successfully survived, likely in the locality, for years), to ask the Chief Executive to take all necessary steps to eradicate this problem.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Parks and Landscapes Service will contact pest control specialists to carry out an assessment as to the extent of rat infestation in the specified location.

**Q.78 COUNCILLOR FRANK KENNEDY**

To ask the Chief Executive to address the serious problem of the pedestrian lights at Leeson Street Bridge in circumstances where cars and buses often drive straight through red traffic lights by taking the following steps:

- (a) Review the pedestrian light sequencing with the main traffic lights at Leeson Street Bridge;
- (b) Improve the visibility of the pedestrian traffic lights;
- (c) Improve the visibility to taxis, buses and commercial vehicles of the red (vehicular) traffic lights;
- (d) At busy times, employ a City Council traffic guard at this intersection;
- (e) Alert cyclists and pedestrians to the dangers by providing additional signage to heighten their awareness; and
- (f) Notify the Gardai of the gravity of this problem and request that they provide some visible policing at this junction during rush hours.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Regarding the pedestrian / cycleway signals at Leeson Street Bridge, a review of the Traffic Signal operation at this location is currently being undertaken by the ITS Section Dublin City Council with a view to improve the sequence of the signals to promote pedestrian / cyclist safety and a report will be issued once the review has been completed and sequence changes implemented.

Dublin City Council is limited in what may be erected in the Public Domain. The Department of Transport (TSM 2010) is referenced by this department in relation to certain "Hazards". There is nothing specific in relation to warning one mode of transport (Pedestrians/Cyclists) about another mode (cars / vans / buses etc.) at a signalised Junction.

The enforcement of traffic offence is a matter for An Garda Síochána and a Traffic Guard is therefore not required.

**Q.79 COUNCILLOR ANTHONY CONNAGHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive to arrange for a full inspection of the footpaths on the Jamestown Road in Finglas please? This road is one of the oldest in Finglas and has many elderly people living on it who walk into Finglas Village on a daily basis. The footpaths are in a poor condition on many parts.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Road Maintenance Services will consider the improvement of these paths under 2017 works programme, subject to available funding and road works priorities.

**Q.80 COUNCILLOR ANTHONY CONNAGHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive to arrange for **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.81 COUNCILLOR ANTHONY CONNAGHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive to examine the footpaths at **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.82 COUNCILLOR ANTHONY CONNAGHAN**

To ask the Chief Executive to acquire **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.83 COUNCILLOR DAITHI DOOLAN**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.84 COUNCILLOR DAITHI DOOLAN**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.85 COUNCILLOR DAITHI DOOLAN**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.86 COUNCILLOR SAITHI DOOLAN**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.87 COUNCILLOR CLAIRE BYRNE**

Could the Chief Executive outline what provision for cycle lanes has been made in the planning permissions granted so far within the Royal Canal / Rathbourn /

Pelletstown developments and how the Council intends to implement the provisions of the Greater Dublin Area Cycle Network Plan in this area?"

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The proposed development of the 7.1 km Royal Canal Greenway Scheme along the Royal Canal from Sheriff Street Upper, Dublin 1 to Ashtown, Dublin 15 consists of three phases, Phases 2 to 4 inclusive.

Phase 2: Sheriff Street Upper, Dublin 1 to North Strand Road, Dublin 1.

Phase 3: North Strand Road, Dublin 1 to Phibsborough Road, Dublin 7.

Phase 4: Phibsborough Road, Dublin 7 to Ashtown, Dublin 15.

Phase 2 & Phase 3 of the scheme are currently in the detailed design stage and it is anticipated that the tender for construction will be issued early 2017 for Phase 2 & 3. It is intended that Phase 4 will be developed as resources and funding becomes available.

The Royal Canal Greenway is an important strategic piece of cycling infrastructure for the city which will provide a proper, high quality link from Sheriff Street Upper to Ashtown, providing a good facility both for commuting and leisure cyclists. It adds to the city's green cycle network that has seen the sections of the Grand Canal and Tolka Valley constructed between 2012 and 2014.

**Q.88 COUNCILLOR CLAIRE BYRNE**

To ask the Chief Executive how many of the 78 advertising structures promised for Dublin City as part of the Coca-Cola Zero dublin bikes Scheme have been erected, where are those located, how many structures have been taken down to date and for what reasons, and can he provide a full report on the size and scale of all the advertising structures agreed in the contract.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

82 advertising structures are required under the Coca-Cola Zero dublinbikes contract. 4 advertising structures have yet to be provided. The geographical distribution of advertising structures is set out below:

<b>DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC AMENITY ADVERTISING BY DCC AREA</b>		
<b>DCC Area</b>	<b>Advertising Units</b>	<b>Bike Stations</b>
<b>South East</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>47%</b>
<b>South Central</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>Central</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>35%</b>
<b>North West</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>North Central</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Northside</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>35%</b>
<b>Southside</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>65%</b>

The size and format of structures accepted by Dublin City Council under the contact are metropole (c.8sqm panel) and metropanel (6 sheet) advertising units.

A number of structures have been removed/relocated or adjusted since installation to facilitate road works, Luas works or other improvements in the public realm including structures at North Road Finglas, Dorset Street, Rathmines Road and Parnell Street.

100 existing 48 sheet (or equivalent) billboards have been removed as a requirement of the contract as detailed in the tables below:

<u>Sites</u>	<u>No of Panels Removed</u>
152 Upper Sheriff Street, Dublin 1	1
Herberton Road Rialto, Dublin 8	1
Parnell Road Rialto, Dublin 8	1
86 Parnell Street, Dublin 1	3
132 East Wall Road, Dublin 1	5
90 Lower Dorset Street, Dublin 1	1
30 Lower Gardiner Street, Dublin 1	1
Shantalla Road Beaumont, Dublin 9	1
The Hole in the Wall Donaghmede, Dublin 13	2
Harolds Cross Road, Dublin 6	1
736 South Circular Road, Dublin 8	1
114 Church Street/Brunswick Street, Dublin 7	1
Grove Road at the Grove Inn, Dublin 6	2
Russell Street, Dublin 1	1
Bride Street/Kevin Street, Dublin 2	5
553 North Circular Road, Dublin 1	3
Western Way Broadstone, Dublin 1	1
North Wall Quay, Dublin 1	2
20 Clanbrassil Street, Dublin 8	1
Emmet Road, Dublin 8	1
64 Richmond Road, Dublin 3	1
3 North Circular Road, Dublin 7	1
232 North Circular Road, Dublin 7	3
Des Kelly Furniture Store, 372 North Circular Road, Dublin 7	1
21 Manor Street, Dublin 7	1
27 Benburb Street, Dublin 7	1
5 Lower Ballyfermot Road, Dublin 10	1
Violet Hill, Finglas, Dublin 11	1
Ballymun Shopping Centre, Dublin 11	4
43 Ravensdale Road, Crumlin, Dublin 12	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>50</b>



Appendix A: Monthly Meeting 7th November 2016

Address	Capacity	DCC 48 Equivalent
Blue Lion 104 Parnell Street, Dublin 1	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
95-96 Parnell Street, Dublin 1	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
70 - 71 Summerhill, Dublin 1	1 x Europanel	} 2 x 48 Sheet
62-64 Fenian Street, Dublin 2	1 x Europanel	
7 North King Street, Dublin 7	1 x Europanel	
Richmond Road, Dublin 3	3 x 48 Sheet	3 x 48 Sheet
Blackhorse Avenue, Cabra, Dublin 7	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Cobblestone Inn, North King Street, Dublin 7	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Parnell Road, Dolphins Barn, Dublin 8	2 x 48 Sheet	2 x 48 Sheet
Parnell Road, Dolphins Barn, Dublin 8	2 x 48 Sheet	2 x 48 Sheet
53 Collins Avenue, Killester, Dublin 9 (Freestanding)	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Upper Drumcondra Road, Ivy Bar, Dublin 9	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Lorcan Avenue, Santry, Dublin 9	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Swords Road, Santry, Dublin 9	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
133 Parnell Street, Dublin 1	2 x 12 Sheets	} 1 x 48 Sheet
1-3 Blackhall Place, Dublin 7	2 x 12 Sheets	
1 Cardiffsbridge Road, Finglas, Dublin 11	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Cardiffsbridge Road, Finglas, Dublin 11	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Finglas Shopping Centre, Dublin 11	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
19 Captains Road, Crumlin, Dublin 12	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Crumlin Village, Crumlin, Dublin 12	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Keeper Road, Drimnagh, Dublin 12	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
53 Collins Avenue, Killester, Dublin 9 (Gable)	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
21 Mountbrown Kilmainham, Dublin 8	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Emmet Road, Dublin 8	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
31 Lower Clanbrassil Street, Dublin 8	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Sandford Road, Ranelagh, Dublin 6	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Dolphins Barn, Dublin 8	2 x 48 Sheet	2 x 48 Sheet
Cork Street at Donnelly's Centre, Dublin 8	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
41 Old Kilmainham, Dublin 8	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
Werburgh Street, Dublin 2	4 x 48 Sheet	4 x 48 Sheet
14a Poplar Row, Dublin 3	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
101 Malahide Road, Dublin 3	1 x 48 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
72 Grove Road, Rathmines, Dublin 6	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Malahide Road, Dublin 3	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
1 Piles Terrace/Sandwith Street, Dublin 2	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Sandwith Street, Dublin 2	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
95 Lower Mount Street, Dublin 2	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
Church Street, Finglas, Dublin 11	Ex 48-Europanel	1 x 48 Sheet
25a Malahide Road, Artane, Dublin 5	1 x 12 Sheet	} 1 x 48 Sheet
151 Pearse Street, Dublin 2	1 x 12 Sheet	
Talbot Street C/o Lower Gardiner Street, Dublin 1	2 x 12 Sheets	} 2 x 48 Sheet
183 James Street, Dublin 8	1 x Europanel	
36 Pimlico, Dublin 8	1 x Europanel	} 1 x 48 Sheet
112 Upper Drumcondra Road, Dublin 9	1 x Europanel	
Shamrock Lodge, Finglas, Dublin 11	1 x 12 Sheet	} 1 x 48 Sheet
Fitzmaurice Road, Dublin 11	1 x 12 Sheet	
Tyreconnell Road, Inchicore, Dublin 8	2 x 12 Sheets	
740 South Circular Road, Dublin 8	1 x 12 Sheet	} 1 x 48 Sheet
102 South Circular Road, Dublin 8	1 x 12 Sheet	
44 Ranelagh Road, Dublin 6	1 x 12 Sheet	
330 Lower Kimmage Road, Dublin 6	1 x 12 Sheet	1 x 48 Sheet
<b>Total</b>		<b>50 x 48 Sheets</b>

**Q.89 COUNCILLOR CLAIRE BYRNE**

To ask the Chief Executive can he please provide details of the legislation governing dogs outside pubs and restaurants, and whether a premises is required signage stating their terms and conditions regarding the presence of dogs outside a premises"

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

There is no Legislation in the Control of Dogs Act 1986 to address the above. This is a matter for individual business owners or the DSPCA in case of perceived cruelty to the dogs.

**Q.90 COUNCILLOR CLAIRE BYRNE**

To ask the Chief Executive what the current status of The Dublin Beta Projects is, if he could provide an update on projects completed and outline the proposed funding for the continuation of the scheme"

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

It is welcomed to note that the Beta project was well received and provoked a positive response from the public. The Beta Project programme is currently stood down. While City Architects would welcome the opportunity to re-initiate the project, unfortunately due to current resource and financial constraints are unable to do so at present. Should this situation change in the future, the re-initiation proposal can be examined. Please see links below to information on individual projects.

Useful links: [2016 DCC Beta Report](#) and [www.dccbета.ie](http://www.dccbета.ie)

**Q.91 COUNCILLOR RAY MCADAM**

To ask the Chief Executive to ensure that Enforcement Officers immediately inspect the site and investigate whether the 'Project Construction & Demolition Work Management Plan' as approved by An Bord Pleanála with regards to **(Details supplied)?**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.92 COUNCILLOR RAY MCADAM**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to **(Details supplied)?**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.93 COUNCILLOR RAY MCADAM**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to **(Details supplied)?**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.94 COUNCILLOR RAY MCADAM**

To ask the Chief Executive to respond to **(Details supplied)?**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.95 COUNCILLOR ALISON GILLILAND**

To ask the Chief Executive to indicate the measures put in place to ensure that

- a) The agreed percentage of owner-occupier units in New Priory are actually sold to owner-occupiers rather than to investors for private rental purposes

b) The agreed 30% of these owner occupiers fall into the income categories under the House Purchase Loan scheme

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A) Initial indications from the agents who managed the sale of the 43 apartments in this phase are that the majority of purchases were by first time buyers.

B) The sale prices that the 43 units were sold at fall within the price limits of DCC's House Purchase loan scheme.

**Q.96 COUNCILLOR ALISON GILLILAND**  
To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.97 COUNCILLOR ALISON GILLILAND**  
To ask the Chief Executive to provide an update on the roll out of HAP by DCC including the following aspects:

a) How HAP will interact with the RAS scheme

b) How HAP will interact with rent supplement supports

c) How this new service will be provided by DCC in particular where within its internal structures it will be located, how staff to manage this service will be chosen and trained

d) How details of this new scheme will be disseminated to the general public that may need to avail of it

e) The expected timeline for the roll out of the scheme across the DCC area

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A report will issue to the Housing SPC on this matter.

**Q.98 COUNCILLOR ALISON GILLILAND**  
To ask the Chief Executive to indicate **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.99 COUNCILLOR TINA MACVEIGH**  
To ask the Dublin Region Homeless Executive to provide this Councillor with a full list of all the homeless hostels funded by the DRHE in each of the city's DCC administrative areas.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The table below lists homeless services funded by the DRHE.

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016

Appendix A: Monthly Meeting 7th November 2016

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23rd September 2016
1	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 1	19
2	Salvation Army	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 1	31
3	Crosscare	Temporary Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 1	38
4	Crosscare	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 1	62
5	DePaul Ireland	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 1	32
6	Crosscare	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 1	30
7	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 1	2
8	Dublin Simon Community	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	31
9	Salvation Army	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	32
10	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	35
11	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	20
12	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	46
13	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 2	19

Appendix A: Monthly Meeting 7th November 2016

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23rd September 2016
14	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	35
15	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 2	17
16	Dublin Simon Community	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	34
17	Crosscare	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	59
18	Focus Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	12
19	Private	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 7	55
20	DePaul Ireland	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 7	19
21	Salvation Army	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	29
22	Focus Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	10
23	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	14
24	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	11
25	Dublin Simon Community	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 7	2

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23rd September 2016
26	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	31
27	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	29
28	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	25
29	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	41
30	Dublin Simon Community	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	Included In Dublin Siomon Addiction Services Table Below
31	DePaul Ireland	Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 8	28
32	Crosscare	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	100
33	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	3
34	Sophia Housing	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 8	1
35	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 9	7
36	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 9	10

Appendix A: Monthly Meeting 7th November 2016

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23rd September 2016
37	Novas/Depaul	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	30
38	Focus Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	17
39	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	9
40	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	31
41	DePaul Ireland	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	29
42	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 11	18
43	Sophia Housing	Temporary Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 14.	8
44	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Temporary Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 15	5
45	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 22	18
46	SDCC	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dublin 24	75
47	Peter Mc Verry Trust	Temporary Emergency Accommodation	Dublin 24	1
48	Sophia Housing	Supported Temporary Accommodation	Donabate, Co. Dublin	17

Homeless Accommodation – 23 <sup>rd</sup> September 2016				
No.	Accommodation provider	Accommodation Type	Address	Total on 23rd September 2016
		(STA)		
49	Crosscare	Supported Temporary Accommodation (STA)	Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin	32

Day Services – (Figures not Available for Day services)			
No.	Name	Type	Location
1.	Crosscare	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 1
2.	Crosscare	Crosscare Refugee Service (CRS)	Dublin 1
3.	Vincentian Refugee Service	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 1
4.	Focus Ireland Coffee Shop	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 2
5.	Tenancy Protection Service, Threshold	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 7
6.	Tenancy Protection Service, Threshold	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 7
7.	Merchants Quay Day and Night Service	Day Support and Information Service	Dublin 8

Addiction and rehabilitation services are funded by the HSE with DCC supporting costs for the premises wherein the services are located.

Addiction and Rehabilitation Services in Dublin City				
No.	Name		Location	Total on 23rd September 2016
1.	Teach Mhuire		Dublin 1	20
2.	Ana Liffey Drug Project		Dublin 1	N/A
3.	Merchants Quay Ireland (Night Service)		Dublin 8	65
4.	Dublin Simon Addiction Services		Dublin 8	30



**Q.100 COUNCILLOR TINA MACVEIGH**

To ask our Housing Manager to provide this Councillor with a report which provides a breakdown of the income and employment status of Dublin City Council tenants and those on the Dublin City Council housing waiting list?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply will issue directly to the councillor within two weeks.

**Q.101 COUNCILLOR EMMA MURPHY**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.102 COUNCILLOR EMMA MURPHY**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplies)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.103 COUNCILLOR EMMA MURPHY**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.104 COUNCILLOR EMMA MURPHY**

To ask the Chief Executive, **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.105 COUNCILLOR GREG KELLY**

To ask the Chief Executive for an update on **(Details Supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.106 COUNCILLOR GREG KELLY**

To ask the Chief Executive if the path at **(Details Supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.107 COUNCILLOR GREG KELLY**

To ask the Chief Executive if **(Details Supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.108 COUNCILLOR GREG KELLY**

To ask the Chief Executive if the **(Details Supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.109 COUNCILLOR SEAMAS MCGRATTAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.110 COUNCILLOR DEAMAS MCGRATTAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply will issue directly to the Councillor.

**Q.111 COUNCILLOR SEAMAS MCGRATTAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.112 COUNCILLOR SEAMAS MCGRATTAN**  
To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.113 COUNCILLOR PAT DUNNE**  
Can the Chief Executive ask our Parks Section to examine the tree at (**Details supplied**) with a view to having it pruned or removed as it is blocking light and is overgrown.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.114 COUNCILLOR PAT DUNNE**  
Can the Chief Executive provide a report on the future plans for the Dolphin House complex and give details of current and future de-tenanting plans as expressed to residents at a recent briefing.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The current phase of the regeneration of Dolphin House will result in the provision of 100 new social housing homes. Any future plans for redevelopment will be subject to agreement and approval processes (Part 8 & Department of Housing Planning Community & Local Government). Accordingly de-tenanting plans for the residents of Dolphin will be determined as part of this process and undertaken with regard to the nature of any proposed redevelopment.

**Q.115 COUNCILLOR PAT DUNNE**  
Can the Chief Executive ask our Traffic Advisory Group to address the following issue about the parking on the public footpath near/outside the Spar on Cork Street/Reuben Street? It has become so bad that I suggest that some safety barriers (footpath bollards) are placed/embedded into the footpath to stop any vehicle from mounting the footpath near/outside the Spar.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Parking restrictions are already in place at the corner of Reuben Street and Cork Street by regulation.

As per the Road Traffic Regulations 36 (2) (c): "A vehicle shall not be parked within 5 metres of a road junction."

There is sufficient room in the Bus Lane for Vehicles to load & unload outside the hours of operation (i.e. 1000 – 1200 & 1900 – 0700) without having to encroach on the bend.

This is a driver behavioural issue and is a matter for enforcement by An Gardaí or DSPS at 016022500.

In the photo shown, it should be noted that the driver is also in contravention of another regulation in that they should not be parked on a footway, a grass margin or a median strip.

Bollards are not provided on footpaths as a measure to prevent parking as it is illegal to park on a footpath. Therefore bollards are not recommended as this would lead to a proliferation of same and additional expense due to maintenance etc.

**Q.116 COUNCILLOR PAUL HAND**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.117 COUNCILLOR PAUL HAND**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.118 COUNCILLOR PAUL HAND**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.119 COUNCILLOR PAUL HAND**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.120 COUNCILLOR VINCENT JACKSON**

That the following housing issue be looked at as a matter of urgency (**Details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.121 COUNCILLOR VINCENT JACKSON**

Can DCC please let me know when I was told the doors & windows of? (**Details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.122 COUNCILLOR VINCENT JACKSON**

To ask the Chief Executive that the following be looked at **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.123 COUNCILLOR VINCENT JACKSON**

That the following Housing matter be reported on **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.124 COUNCILLOR NAOISE O MUIRI**

Can the Chief Executive please deal with the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.125 COUNCILLOR NAOISE O MUIRI**

Can the Chief Executive please deal with the following **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.126 COUNCILLOR NAOISE O MUIRI**

Can the Chief Executive please deal with the following **(details supplied)**.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.127 COUNCILLOR NAOISE O MUIRI**

Can the Chief Executive please deal with the following **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.128 COUNCILLOR GARY GANNON**

To ask **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.129 COUNCILLOR ANDREW MONTAGUE**

Will the Chief Executive report on how many houses Dublin City Council have bought back in 2016? How does this compare with 2015? How many of the houses were in Dublin North West area?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

<b>YEAR</b>	<b>Total Acquisitions</b>	<b>North West Acquisitions</b>
<i>2015</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Jan to Oct 2016</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>24</i>

**Q.130 COUNCILLOR ANDREW MONTAGUE**

Will the council investigate the outbound bus stop of the Dublin Bus Airlink 747 route opposite the 3 Arena? The bus stop itself is situated against the wall, not on the edge of the footpath as is usual, because the portion of the footpath alongside the road is actually a designated cycle lane. Therefore, commuters alighting from a bus at this stop are required to step into the path of a moving bicycle. Also, it's a very busy section of road for pedestrians (usually with suitcases) to cross to reach the nearby hotel, with no pedestrian traffic lights nearby.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

This issue with the location of Dublin Bus Stop 7399 against the wall and the cycle lane needs to be further investigated. Extra signage around this bus stop warning both pedestrians and cyclists may be an option. The possibility of a pedestrian crossing at the location will need to be assessed. The Councillor will be informed of the findings of both these issues in due course.

**Q.131 COUNCILLOR ANDREW MONTAGUE**

Will the council replace the street light that was removed from Shanowen Grove, opposite 65? The street is a lot darker since it was removed.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Light 13, opposite House 65 Shanowen Grove was repaired on 24<sup>th</sup> October.

**Q.132 COUNCILLOR ANDREW MONTAGUE**

To ask the Chief Executive (**Details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.133 COUNCILLOR DECLAN FLANAGAN**

To ask the chief executive the following (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.134 COUNCILLOR DECLAN FLANAGAN**

To ask the Chief Executive the following (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.135 COUNCILLOR DECLAN FLANAGAN**

To ask the Chief Executive the following (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.136 COUNCILLOR DECLAN FLANAGAN**

To ask the Chief Executive the following (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.137 COUNCILLOR CIERAN PERRY**

To ask the Chief Executive in relation to illegal dumping can the Chief Executive provide details for the following?

- A. Confirm that illegally dumped bags continue to be collected by Waste Management on a daily basis?
- B. Confirm that illegally dumped bags are being searched on overtime each weekend and how many fines have been issued for this weekend work?
- C. List the venues which pay for waste management during events? In relation to these venues how many fines have been issued, per venue, over the past two years?
- D. Confirm how many searches for the transport of illegal waste in the city have been carried out in each administrative area?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

- A. I can confirm that the Waste Management Dept continue to remove illegally dumped bags on a daily basis as required
- B. I can confirm that dumped bags are being removed and searched for evidence on overtime scheduled at weekends. 83 litter fines have been issued in respect of this activity.
- C. Arrangements are in place with Croke Park and the Aviva Stadium for the provision of waste management services during events. No litter fines were issued. Litter Wardens are on duty to limit or prevent the creation of litter. This includes ensuring that licensed premises, take-away premises and mobile food outlets adhere to Litter and Waste Bye Laws and ensuring that any person distributing advertising material for commercial purposes has a valid permit in place.
- D. 7 searches were carried in the South Central Area and four searches were carried out in the North West Area relating to checkpoints so far in 2016 carried out by Dublin City Councils Waste Enforcement Unit.  
In 2016 to date, Dublin City Council's Waste Enforcement Unit, with the assistance of the Gardai, has undertaken 11 checkpoints to detect the illegal transportation of waste material.  
In addition to the checkpoint figures, contact has been attempted with 29 waste collectors advertising their collections online. Four files have since been forwarded to the Law Department for initiation of Legal Proceedings.  
In addition to these checkpoints, the Waste Enforcement Unit have also been involved in a new detection and surveillance initiative in 2016. Investigations into Waste collectors advertising their services by various means such as local newspapers and other online media have been undertaken to ensure compliance. To date, investigations into 29 separate individuals have been initiated and four cases have since been forwarded to the Law Department for the commencement of Legal Proceedings.

**Q.138 COUNCILLOR CIERAN PERRY**

Can the Chief Executive provide an update on the dog fouling program (Q.57 October Meeting)?

- A. How many dog fouling fines have been issued in each of the areas per month?
- B. Of the €30,000 allocated per area, what amount remains?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

Please see table below that outlines the breakdown of enforcement statistics and budget figures requested.

	Central	North Central	North West	South Central	South East	Total
May	0	0	0	12	0	12
June	0	3	0	23	0	26
July	0	1	2	0	1	4
Aug	1	2	1	7	1	12
Sept	0	3	0	6	4	13
Oct	0	5	1	1	2	9
<b>Total</b>	1	14	4	49	8	76
<b>Budget Remaining</b>	30,000	1,500	14,500	10,000	4,500	60,500

**Q.139 COUNCILLOR CIERAN PERRY**

Can the Chief Executive provide further details in relation to Q.104 from May Council meeting regarding litter fines?

- a) A breakdown of the figures provided in Q.104 from May Council meeting between commercial and non-commercial litter fines issued per month in each local area in Dublin for the last 3 years?
- b) How many fines have not proceeded to court and why are these fines not proceeding to court?
- c) The number of litter wardens assigned to the area office and the commercial area in Dublin Central?
- d) Confirmation that the above number of litter wardens issued 691 fines in 2015?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

- a) It is not possible to provide this breakdown of information as there is no provision within the litter management system to differentiate between commercial and non activity in respect of fines issued. Fines issued under the Litter Pollution Act are differentiated by the section of the act under which an alleged offence has been committed. Sections 15 – 18 of the act refer to specific commercial activities and no fines were issued under these sections.
- b) A report will issue directly to the councillor once these statistics are compiled.
- c) There are three Litter Wardens assigned to the Central Area Office and two Litter Wardens assigned to the Central Area Commercial District
- d) I can confirm that the above number of Litter Wardens issued 691 litter fines in 2015.

**Q.140 COUNCILLOR CIERAN PERRY**

Can the Chief Executive detail the following?

The number of Estate Managers employed by Dublin City Council, and their estates, in 2008 and the corresponding numbers in 2015?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The following is the number of staff employed as Project Estate Officers in the five Area Offices as requested above:-

<b>Area</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2015</b>
North Central	3	4
North West	3	4
South Central	5	5
South East	2	3
Central	4	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>

**Q.141 COUNCILLOR JANE HORGAN JONES**

To ask the Chief Executive if the new 15m high cctv poles facing the Ballybough flats constitute exempted Development as defined in the Planning and Development Act 2001 (amended) or if they require a part 8 planning application.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The works are required in the interests of the safety of the local residents and as the cost of works (structures), is less than €126,000 are considered exempt from the Part 8 process.

These cameras are being installed following the advice of Gardai in an effort to help address the ongoing significant anti-social behaviour and drug dealing within the complex (involving in some cases people coming into the complex from other areas. It is necessary for the poles to be 15m in height in order for the cameras to take in the two entrances to the complex and the entrances to each block. There were cameras in the complex for many years but they were ineffective in providing suitable coverage which would act as a deterrent to individuals involved in such anti-social behaviour. The cameras on these poles will be fixed position cameras and will focus on the entrance to each stairwell. It will not be possible to move these cameras remotely and in line with Data Protection requirements they can only focus on communal and public areas. Accordingly, there will be no question of the cameras ever invading the privacy of any individuals, including residents on Cadogan Road.

**Q.142 COUNCILLOR NORMA SAMMON**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.143 COUNCILLOR NORMA SAMMON**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.144 COUNCILLOR NORMA SAMMON**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.



**Q.145 COUNCILLOR NORMA SAMMON**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.146 COUNCILLOR PAUL MCAULIFFE**

Can the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.147 COUNCILLOR PAUL MCAULIFFE**

Can the NWA Manager (**details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.148 COUNCILLOR PAUL MCAULIFFE**

Can the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.149 COUNCILLOR PAUL MCAULIFFE**

Can the Chief Executive (**Details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.150 COUNCILLOR DAMIAN O'FARRELL**

To ask the Chief Executive (**Details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.151 COUNCILLOR DAMIAN O'FARRELL**

To ask the Chief Executive (**details supplied**)?

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.152 COUNCILLOR DAMIAN O'FARRELL**

To ask the Chief Executive for an update on this urgent matter please. Reference 116 Howth Road, Dublin 3 aka 1,2,3,4 Howth Court // DCC Ref E0191/06 // Planning applications 3867/06 and 4961/07 // DCC NCA reply Q84 19/09/2016. There is a major problem here regarding alleged unauthorised development at 116 Howth Road and DCC need to take urgent legal proceedings in order for the matter to be resolved. These homes have been designed for private residential and they are currently being used for commercial gain (approx. 100 people staying in 4 houses / a fully-fledged disco with turntables / PA system was held on the lawn of one of the houses last weekend). This is an extraordinary situation that DCC are aware of and need to prioritise and take action immediately in the interests of adjacent neighbours and all residential communities in Dublin please.

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

The properties concerned were inspected in early 2016 and no evidence was found that they were used as B&B accommodation or were in any other holiday use. Two of the houses were occupied by students and the remainder were in single-family occupation.

Recently, reports have been received that all four houses are now let to a number of people. A further inspection has been arranged and, based on the findings of the inspection, a decision on enforcement action will be made.

Most of the complaints received have concerned noisy behaviour in the student occupied accommodation. Anti-social behaviour of this nature is a matter for the Gardaí to address.

It should be noted that the renting out of residential property for residential purposes does not serve to move a property from the residential sector to the commercial sector, even if there is a commercial aspect to the arrangement. Otherwise, all rented properties would fall foul of the planning acts and only owner-occupiers would have accommodation

**Q.153 COUNCILLOR CHRISTY BURKE**

To ask the Chief Executive that DCC provide this Councillor with a start and complete date for the reinstatement of the defective path at **(details supplied)**:

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.154 COUNCILLOR CHRISTY BURKE**

To ask the Chief Executive that DCC repair the heating radiators and alarms at **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.155 COUNCILLOR CHRISTY BURKE**

To ask the Chief Executive **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.

**Q.156 COUNCILLOR CHRISTY BURKE**

To ask the Chief Executive if DCC have any plans to upgrade **(details supplied)**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPLY:**

A reply has been issued directly to the Councillor.